NBS Special Publication 480-3

LEAA Police
Equipment
Survey of 1972,
Volume III
Sirens and
Emergency
Warning Lights



Law Enforcement Equipment Technology

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards





NBS Special Publication 480-3

LEAA Police Equipment Survey of 1972, Volume III Sirens and Emergency Warning Lights

JUL 20 1977 NOT ACC. QC 100 , V57 No. 480--

by
P. Klaus and E. Bunten
Institute for Applied Technology
National Bureau of Standards
Washington, D. C. 20234

prepared by Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory Center for Consumer Product Technology National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

prepared for National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice Law Enforcement Assistance Administration U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20531



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary
Sidney Harman, Under Secretary

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, Acting Director

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory.

LEAA police equipment survey of 1972.

(NBS special publication; 480-1-480-7)

"CODEN: XNBSAV."

CONTENTS: v. 1. Ku, R., Bunten, E., Klaus, P. The need for standards, priorities for police equipment.—v. 2. Mumford, S. et al. Communications equipment and supplies.—v. 3. Klaus, P. and Bunten, E. Sirens and emergency warning lights. [etc.]

1. Police—Equipment and supplies—Collected works. I. National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. II. Title. III. Series: United States. National Bureau of Standards. Special publication; 480-1—480-7.

QC100.U57 no. 480-1—480-7 [HV7936.E7] 602'.1s [363.2'028] 74-28442

National Bureau of Standards Special Publication 480-3 Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Spec. Publ.480-3 ,110 pages CODEN:XNBSAV

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON:

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402 (Order by SD Catalog No. C13.10:480-3). Stock No. 003-003-01744-2 Price \$2.50 (Add 25 percent additional for other than U.S. mailing).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the 437 police departments throughout the United States who contributed their time and knowledge to make this report possible. Special thanks go to the more than 40 police departments whose officers and administrators helped the survey team during the developmental and testing phases of the work. In addition, we thank Avery Horton, Program Manager, and Jacob J. Diamond, Chief of the Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory of the National Bureau of Standards; and E. Thomas Pierce and Kenneth L. Kelly of the NBS Center for Building Technology.

We thank the many members of the NBS Technical Analysis Division who provided support: William L. O'Neal for his help in computerizing the sample selection and for helping to design and program the interactive recordkeeping system; Robert J. Cunitz for his help in editing and reviewing the report; Jenny L. Eldreth for helping design and for maintaining the computerized recordkeeping system; Sandra J. Mumford for supervising the coding/editing of the questionnaires; June R. Cornog for her advice, support, and help with initial interviews; Diane R. Beall for the many typings of the various versions of the questionnaires; Suellen Halpin, Mary L. Friend, Susan E. Bergsman, and Dwight F. Doxey for editing and coding data and for making follow-up telephone calls; Lorraine S. Freeman for her administrative support; Karen Jackson, Cassandra Streeter, and Janice Davis for their help with questionnaire coding and recordkeeping; and Mary Hawkins for typing the report. Michael R. Vogt receives special thanks for his help in carrying out the computerized edit and tabulation of the data.



CONTENTS

				Page
Ack	nowle	dgments		Ш
	of Ta	_		
For	eword			ΙX
Exe	cutive	Summary	y	ΧI
1.	Introd	luction		1
	1.1.	Project	Background	1
	1.2.	Sample	Design	2
	1.3.		nnaire Administration	
	1.4.	_	ment and Design of the Sirens and Lights DQ	
	1.5.		eristics of Subsample Groups	
2.	Quest		uestion Discussion	
	2.1.	Advice t	to the Reader	
	2.2.	Discussi		_
		2.2.1.		
		2.2.2.	Use of Emergency Warning Equipment on Patrol Cars	10
			2.2.2.1. Sound Sources on Patrol Cars	10
			2.2.2.2. Light Sources on Patrol Cars	12
		2.2.3.	Characteristics of Electronic and Electromechanical	
			Sirens	
		2.2.4.	Emergency Warning Lights	26
		2.2.5.	Activities for Which Emergency Warning Equipment	
			Is Used	
		2.2.6.	Purchasing and Testing Emergency Warning Equipment	
		2.2.7.	Training Officers to Use Emergency Warning Equipment	40
		2.2.8.	Availability of Traffic Control Signals for Helping	
			Emergency Vehicles	41
		2.2.9.	Suggestions for Improving Emergency Warning	
			Equipment	42
			s and Emergency Warning Lights Questionnaire	
App	pendix	B. Data	Tables Tables	

LIST OF TABLES

	ı	age
Table 1.2-1.	Stratification categories	3
Table 1.2-2.	Number of police departments by region and type	3
Table 1.2-3.	Number of departments selected to receive the Detailed	Ü
	Questionnaire: Sirens and lights-by region and	
	department type	3
Table 1.3-1.	Numbers of departments returning acceptable Detailed	
	Questionnaires: Sirens and lights	4
Table 1.5-1.	Activities handled by at least one-third of the	
	departments by department type, and percent of total	
	departments having each activity	6
Table 1.5-2.	Descriptive data by department type (means)	6
Table 1.5-3.	Descriptive data by LEAA region (means)	7
Table i.	Title/rank of primary respondent for the sirens and	
	lights questionnaire, by department type	9
Table ii.	Years of experience in law enforcement of primary	
	respondent, by department type	9
Table 34.	Percentages of departments in each department type having	
	specified numbers of patrol cars	10
Table 1-1.	Percentages of departments in each department type	
	reporting use of electronic sirens and mechanical or	
	electromechanical sirens	11
Table 1-2.	Percentages of departments in each department type having	
	public address systems and special loud horns on their	
	patrol cars	11
Table 24-1.	Percentages of departments having flashing roof lights,	
	grille lights, and spotlights, by department type	12
Table 24-2.	Percentages of departments having special turn signal	
	lights, automatic headlight flashers, and special	
	reflectors, by department type	13
Table 24-3.	Percentages of responding departments using each light	
	source on patrol cars	13
Table 6/15-1.	Of the 360 departments using electronic sirens,	
	percentages citing specified brand as most commonly	
	used; and percentages of all "most commonly used"	
	sirens of each specified brand	15
Table 6/15-2.	Of the 180 departments using electromechanical sirens,	
	percentages citing specified brand as most commonly	
	used; and percentages of all "most commonly used"	
	sirens of each specified brand	15
Table 7/16-1.	Of the departments in each department type using	
	electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages	
	mounting them on a utility bar or right on the roof	
	of the patrol car	16
Table 7/16-2.	Of the departments in each department type using	
	electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages	
	which mounted them behind the grille or in the	
m 11 mm	engine compartment	17
Table 7/16-3.	Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical	
	sirens, percentages mounting them in specific location	17

Table 8/17-1.	Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages reporting "no problems,"	Page
Table 8/17-2.	or "no answer" Of the departments citing problems with their electronic	18
	or electromechanical sirens, percentages citing specified problem	19
Table 9/18-1.	Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical	
	sirens, percentages citing each repair category	19
Table 9/18-2.	Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical	
	sirens, the percentages which had never had to repair	
	their most commonly used siren	20
Table 9/18-3.	Of the departments whose most commonly used sirens had	
	never needed repair, length of time those sirens had	
m	been in use	20
Table 10/19	Of the departments in each department type using	
and 9/18.	electronic and electromechanical sirens, the	
	percentages reporting "never needed to repair," and	
	"no answer" or reporting "no problems"	21
Table 10.	Of the 243 electronic siren users that cited a cause of	
	failure, percentages citing specified component	22
Table 19.	Of the 123 electromechanical siren users that cited	
	a cause of failure, percentages citing specified	
	component	22
Table 11/20-1.	Of those departments using electronic and electro-	
	mechanical sirens, percentages citing each length	
	of time to replacement	23
Table 11/20-2.	Of the departments which had replaced (rebuilt) their	
	electronic or electromechanical sirens, percentages	
	citing each length of time to replacement	24
Table 11/20-3.	Of the departments that had not replaced (rebuilt) their	
14510 11/20 0.	electronic or electromechanical sirens, percentages citing	
	each "time in use" category	24
Table 12.	Of the 138 departments using electronic sirens and	4 T
Table 12.	suggesting improvements for those sirens, percentages	
	suggesting specified improvement	25
Table 21.	Of the 77 departments using electromechanical sirens and	23
Table 21.	suggesting improvements for those sirens, percentages	
		26
T 1.1. 07 A 107D1	suggesting specified improvement	20
Table 27A/27B/	Percentages of departments whose "most common" emergency	
27G/27H	warning beacons/flashing lights were made by each	
	manufacturer and where these lights were mounted.	
	Percentages of patrol cars equipped with each brand	07
T 11 07C	of light	27
Table 27C.	Percentages of departments in each departments type using	
	specified number of lights per unit in their "most	
m 11 a-n	common" beacons/flashing lights	28
Table 27D.	Percentage of departments in each department type using	
m 11 a. = -	specified number of units per vehicle	28
Table 27E-1.	Percentage of departments in each department type using	
	red or blue warning signals in their "most common"	-
	beacons/flashers	29

Table 27E-2.	Percentage of departments in each department type using	Page
Table 27E-2.	clear or yellow warning signals in their "most common"	rage
		20
Table 27F.	beacons/flashers Percentages of responding departments using	30
Table 21r.		
	specified color of dome with their "most common"	0.0
T 11 00	beacons/flashing lights	30
Table 28.	Percentages of departments in each department type	
	reporting specified length of time before repair for their	
	"most common" beacons/flashing lights	31
Table 29.	Percentages of departments in each department type	
	reporting specified common cause of breakdown or	
	malfunction for their "most common" beacons/flashers	32
Table 28/30.	Percentages of departments in each department type which	
	had never needed to repair or never needed to replace	
	their "most common" beacons/flashing lights	33
Table 30.	Percentages of departments in each department type which	
	cited specified time to replacement interval for their	
	"most common" beacons/flashing lights	34
Table 31.	Of the 115 departments suggesting improvements for their	0.1
- 42-0 01.	"most common" emergency warning lights, percentages	
	citing specified improvement	25
Table 2/3-1.	Percentages of departments using specified emergency	33
Table 2/5-1.		
	warning devices to signal motorists to pull over during	26
T 11 0/0 0	the daytime and at night	36
Table 2/3-2.	Percentages of departments in each department type using	
	specified emergency warning devices to signal motorists	
	to pull over during the daytime	36
Table 4/5.	Percentages of departments using specified emergency	
	warning devices for daytime and nighttime emergency	
	runs	37
Table 25/26.	Percentages of departments which used emergency warning	
	lights routinely for specified activity during the	
	daytime and at night	38
Table 37.	Percentages of departments in each department type in	
	which the person/group responsible for choosing/ordering	
	emergency warning equipment held specified position	39
Table 38.	Percentages of departments in each department type having	
	specified testing policy for new emergency warning	
	equipment	40
Table 36.	Percentages of departments in each department type using	10
Table 50.	specified method of training officers to use emergency	
	warning equipment	41
Table 35A.	Percentages of departments in each department type capable	TI
I anie oon.	of controlling traffic signals during an emergency	42
Table 35B.	Of the 64 departments able to control traffic signals,	TZ
i anie ood.	percentages controlling in specified manner	42
	percentages controlling in specified manner	42

FOREWORD

The Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory (LESL) of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) furnishes technical support to the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice (NILECJ) program to strengthen law enforcement and criminal justice in the United States. LESL's function is to conduct research that will assist law enforcement and criminal justice agencies in the selection and procurement of quality equipment.

LESL is: (1) Subjecting existing equipment to laboratory testing and evaluation and (2) conducting research leading to the development of several series of documents, including national voluntary equipment standards, user guidelines, state-of-the-art surveys, and other reports.

This document is a law enforcement equipment report developed by LESL under the sponsorship of NILECJ. Additional reports as well as other documents are being issued under the LESL program in the areas of protective equipment, communications equipment, security systems, weapons, emergency equipment, investigative aids, vehicles, and clothing.

Technical comments and suggestions concerning the subject matter of this report are invited from all interested parties. Comments should be addressed to the Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234.

Jacob J. Diamond, Chief
Law Enforcement Standards
Laboratory



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. SUMMARY OF BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY

A. Background

° Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory (LESL) was established in 1971 under the sponsorship of the NILECJ Advanced Technology Division (ATD).

° NILECJ asked the Behavioral Sciences Group of the National Bureau of Standards to develop and carry out a procedure to get information from the users of law enforcement equipment.

"User" information would aid NILECJ in setting priorities for LESL programs and would provide some detailed information in support of the research to develop standards and guidelines.

° In addition, gathering information from the users would help to make police agencies aware of LESL and ATD.

° A nationwide mail sample survey was selected as the best procedure to collect user information.

° An Equipment Priorities Questionnaire (EPQ) and six Detailed Questionnaires (DQs) were developed and administered. A separate report was prepared for each of these seven questionnaires.

B. Design of Questionnaires

° Questionnaires were developed in conjunction with NILECJ, LESL, and cooperating police departments. Questionnaires were pretested at various times with approximately 45 police departments.

° The EPQ was designed to provide information about priority needs for standards

for various types of equipment.

° In addition, the EPQ asked for data about numbers of full- and part-time officers, activities performed in the department, budget, size of jurisdiction, etc.

° The six DQs (Alarms, Security and Surveillance Equipment; Communications Equipment and Supplies; Handguns and Handgun Ammunition; Sirens and Emergency Warning Lights; Body Armor and Confiscated Weapons; and Patrol Cars) were each developed separately.

° The DQs asked about kinds and quantities of equipment in use, problems with existing equipment, suggestions for improving equipment, needs for standards related to the equipment, etc. These questionnaires were designed to give an overview of the use of specific items of equipment.

C. Sample

- ° The population sampled was made up of all police departments listed in a computerized file and maintained by the LEAA Statistical Service.
- ° Courts, correctional institutions, forensic labs, special police agencies, etc., were excluded.
- ° The sample was stratified by LEAA geographic region (10 regions) and by department type (7 department types: state police; county police and sheriffs; city departments with 1-9 officers; city departments with 10-49 officers; city departments with 50 or more officers, excluding the 50 largest cities; the 50 largest U.S. cities by population; and township departments).

- ° Overall, approximately 10 percent of the 12,836 departments in the population were selected as respondents (see table 1.2-2).
- ° The Equipment Priorities Questionnaire was sent to every sample department (1,386). Each Detailed Questionnaire was sent to all states, to all of the 50 largest cities, and to a randomly selected subsample of the main sample (about 530 departments received each DQ).
- ° Thus, states and the 50 largest cities were asked to fill in all 7 questionnaires. Each of the remaining 1,186 departments was asked to fill in the EPQ and 2 of the DQs.
- ° The sample for the Sirens and Emergency Warning Lights DQ consisted of 528 departments (see table 1.2-3).

D. Questionnaire Administration

- ° Stringent control of administration was required.
- ° Introductory letters were sent to heads of departments asking cooperation.
- ° On June 1, 1972, questionnaire packages were mailed.
- ° In July 1972, follow-up by self-return post card was begun.
- ° In August 1972, follow-up by telephone was begun. Departments which had not returned questionnaires were called. Also, calls were made to clear up ambiguities in the returned questionnaires. About 1,300 calls were made. About 70 percent of the sample departments were called at least once.
- ^o Each questionnaire was edited and coded by a specialized team to ensure consistency, then keypunched and tabulated.
 - Completed questionnaires were accepted for tabulation through January 7, 1973.

E. Rates of Return

- ° Eighty-three percent of the 1,386 departments returned usable EPOs.
- ° Eighty-three percent of the 528 departments returned usable Sirens and Lights DQs.
- ° Between 81 and 85 percent of the other DQ subsamples returned usable questionnaires.
- ° Highest rates of return (over 90%) were from states, the 50 largest cities, and cities with 50 or more officers.
 - ° Lowest rates of return were from counties and townships (less than 75%).

F. Characteristics of Responding Departments

- ° The activities most commonly carried out by the respondents (to the EPQ) were serving traffic and criminal warrants (88%), traffic safety and traffic control (87%), and intradepartmental communications (87%).
- ° All of the responding 50 largest cities said they provided inhouse training and criminal investigations. This compared to 68 percent and 86 percent, respectively, of all responding departments.
- ° Only 13 percent of all respondents had crime laboratories. Seventy-three percent of the 50 largest cities and 55 percent of the states had crime laboratories.
- ° About three-fifths of the departments in all department types were providing emergency aid and rescue, ranging from 60 percent of the cities with 50 or more officers to 67 percent of the counties.
- ° Overall, the reported equipment budgets represented somewhat over 10 percent of the total budgets reported.
- ° Among department types, there was a wide range of total equipment expenditures, from a mean of about \$10,000 for cities with 1-9 officers to a mean of almost \$2.7 million for the 50 largest cities.

One of the 50 largest cities reported an equipment budget of \$40 million.

° Overall, the 50 largest cities reported a mean of 2,491 full-time sworn officers. However, 1 of the 50 largest cities had 27 percent of all the full-time officers reported by that department type and another had about 12 percent.

G. Presentation of Data

° Data in this report are presented in two forms: Text tables and full tables (app. B). Text tables do not always present a complete breakdown of the data.

° All tables (text and full) present the data in unweighted form (i.e., numbers and percentages of the responding departments from the sample for this questionnaire, not figures that have been weighted to expand the data to the total population of police departments in the U.S.).

° The sample selected for this questionnaire was not proportional to the total population of police departments. If decisions are to be made which require estimates of population figures, the appropriate extrapolation must be performed. (See app. B, p. B-1.)

II. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

A. Sound Sources of Patrol Cars—General

- ° About twice as many of the responding departments reported using electronic sirens as reported electromechanical sirens; 81 percent and 40 percent, respectively.
- ° More than 60 percent of the 50 largest cities, cities (50+), cities (10-49), and townships had public address systems on their patrol cars. Less than half of the states and counties have such systems.
 - ° Only 4 percent of the responding departments had special loud horns.

B. Light Sources on Patrol Cars—General

- ° More than 90 percent of the departments in six of the seven department types had revolving or flashing lights on the roofs of their patrol cars. Only 83 percent of the counties had such systems.
- ° The next most commonly used light source was hand-controlled noncolored spotlights. Sixty-nine percent of the responding departments had such spotlights.
- ° More than one-third of the responding departments were using special turn signal lights which sometimes may also be used as "four-way" flashers.
- ° Grille lights were used by slightly greater proportions of counties, states, and cities (1-9) than by the three largest city department types: 17-21 percent as compared to 9-11 percent.
- ^o Special reflectors were used by a higher percentage of the 50 largest city departments (30%) than the other department types (range=7-21%).
- ° Much smaller percentages of the states (2%) and townships (7%) were using alley or ambush lights than the other department types (range=17-21%).
- ° Less than 10 percent of the responding departments were using automatic headlight flashers (9%), fog or auxiliary driving lights (3%), or special clearance lights (2%).

C. Electronic Sirens and Electromechanical Sirens

- ° Higher percentages of the larger city department types had electronic sirens (83-86%) than did counties and cities (1-9) (62% and 66%, respectively).
- ° Slightly higher percentages of states (57%) and counties (52%) had electromechanical sirens than the townships (21%), cities (10-49) (32%), and the 50 largest cities (33%).

- ° More manufacturers of electronic sirens were mentioned than were manufacturers of electromechanical sirens.
- ° Approximately four-fifths of all the sirens described by the responding departments as their "most commonly used" sirens were made by one manufacturer.
- ° The most common location for electronic sirens was on top of the patrol car: 58 percent of the electronic siren users placed them on a utility bar above the roof, and 13 percent placed them right on the roof. ¹
- ° The most common location for electromechanical sirens was under the hood of the patrol car: 48 percent of the electromechanical siren users placed them behind the grille, and 43 percent mounted them in the engine compartment.¹
- ° Almost none of the users of either electronic or electromechanical sirens mounted these sirens in any other location.
- ° When asked about problems with their sirens, 36 percent of the users of electronic sirens cited at least one problem, while 60 percent of the electromechanical siren users mentioned at least one problem.
- ° The most common problem cited by users of both types of sirens was "Sometimes motorists do not seem to hear them."
- ° The users of the two types of sirens were remarkably similar in their reports of frequency of repair required: About one-third of the responding departments said the sirens needed repair about once a year or more often, about one-third said repair was needed every 2-3 years, and about one-third said their "most commonly used" siren had never needed repair.
- ° However, there were striking differences among the seven department types in their reports of frequency of repair required.
- ° Of those departments which had never needed to repair their sirens, only 15 percent of the departments with never-repaired electronic sirens had had those sirens more than 3 years while 37 percent of the departments with never-repaired electromechanical sirens had had those sirens more than 3 years.
- ° The most common component/part causes of failures reported by electromechanical siren users were brushes, control switch, and bearings.
- ° Much higher percentages of electromechanical siren users than electronic siren users had had their sirens for more than 10 years before needing to replace or rebuild them.
- ° Much higher percentages of electronic siren users had never needed to replace or rebuild their sirens.

D. Emergency Warning Lights (Beacons or Flashing Lights)

- O About three-fifths of the responding departments mounted their "most commonly used" beacons/flashing lights on a utility bar above the roof of the patrol car.
 - ° About two-fifths mounted them directly on the roof of the patrol car.
- ° The majorities of departments in all department types were using fewer than three lights per unit, but between one-fifth and one-fourth of them were using four lights per unit.
- ° About three-fourths of the responding departments were using only one emergency warning beacon or flashing light per patrol car. Eighty-nine percent of the states had only one unit per vehicle as compared to 68-76 percent of the other six department types.
- ° Three-quarters of the responding departments used red beacons/flashing lights (either red alone or in combination with other colors).
- ° Fifty-six percent of the responding departments were using only red beacons or flashing lights.

¹ These categories were not mutually exclusive. Departments may have been utilizing both locations.

- ° About one-third of the responding departments were using blue beacons/flashing lights (either blue alone or in combination with other colors).
 - ° Twenty-one percent were using only blue beacons/flashing lights.
- ° There were slight but not striking differences among the seven department types in their use of various colors for their "most commonly used" beacons/flashing lights.
- ° Slightly more than half of the 50 largest cities, cities (50+), and states said they had to repair their beacons/flashing lights every 3 years or more often. Less than one-third of the cities (1-9), counties, townships, and cities (10-49) said they had to repair their lights that frequently.
- ° Forty percent of the responding departments said they had never needed to replace their "most commonly used" beacons. Much lower percentages of the 50 largest cities and the states indicated that to be so.
- ° About three-fourths of the departments which had never needed to replace their beacons had had those lights in use for 4 years or less.
- ° Only 10 percent of all of the responding departments said they could use their beacons/flashing lights for more than 10 years before replacement, but 15 percent of the states and 24 percent of the 50 largest cities could use their lights that long.

E. Activities for Which Emergency Warning Equipment Is Used

- ° Almost all of the responding departments used flashing lights to signal motorists to pull over; 90 percent during the daytime, and 99 percent at night.
- ° Sixty-four percent of the departments used sirens to signal motorists to pull over in the daytime and 62 percent used sirens at night.
- ° States were the only department type in which more departments used the car horn than the siren to signal motorists to pull over during the day.
- ° The use of emergency warning signals was similar among the three largest city department types. States and counties tended to differ from cities and from each other in their use of this equipment.
- Over 90 percent of the responding departments used both sirens and flashing lights for emergency runs during the day and at night.
- ° Very few departments said they used their emergency warning lights for routine patrol. None of the 50 largest cities or cities (50+) did so, but between 4 and 8 percent of the departments in the other 5 department types did.

F. Purchasing and Testing Emergency Warning Equipment

- ° The chief/unit head was responsible for choosing and ordering emergency warning equipment in 90 percent of the counties, cities (10-49), and townships. This was also the case in 84 percent of the cities (1-9) and 57 percent of the cities (50+).
- ° In state departments, choice and ordering of emergency warning equipment was the responsibility of some administrative staff member other than the chief/unit head.
- ° In the 50 largest cities also, about half of the departments reported purchase of emergency warning equipment was the responsibility of some "other" administrative staff member, and about one-fourth listed some member of the maintenance staff.
- ° Much higher percentages of state and 50 largest city departments said they bought a few pieces of equipment and got officers' opinions on their use before purchase than did the other department types.
- ° In five of the seven department types, the most common method of training officers to use emergency warning equipment was to have experienced officers train new officers.
- ° In the states and 50 largest cities, the most common training method was training classes in the department.

G. Traffic Signal Control for Helping Emergency Vehicles

- ° Ability to control traffic signals was not generally available in responding departments; about 15 percent of the departments had such a capability.
- ° About one-fourth of the cities (50+) were able to control traffic signals, but only 9 percent of the 50 largest cities had that capability.
- ° Although 15 percent of the responding departments said they were able to control traffic signals in emergencies, only 3 percent said that such control could be exercised by either a bright light from the patrol car or by a radio signal from the patrol car.
- ° Most departments that said they could control traffic signals in emergencies said that such control was exercised manually at the signal itself.

LEAA POLICE EQUIPMENT SURVEY OF 1972

Volume III: Sirens and Emergency Warning Lights

P. Klaus and E. Bunten

Institute for Applied Technology, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234

The report outlines the methodology of and summarizes a portion of the data from the LEAA Police Equipment Survey of 1972. One of a series of 7 reports resulting from this nationwide mail survey of a stratified random sample of police departments, the present report summarizes the answers of 437 police departments concerning their sirens and emergency warning lights: Use of sirens and lights; experience with most commonly used electronic sirens, electromechanical sirens, and emergency warning lights; purchasing, repair and replacement of this equipment; and training of officers in use of this equipment. The data are presented by all responding departments and by seven department types.

Key words: Emergency warning lights; police; police equipment; sirens; standards.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Project Background

During the past several years, law enforcement agencies in the United States have become more aware of the importance of equipment in the performance of their duties. Much of their equipment had originally been designed for other uses and had to be modified. Other equipment items had to be used as given. No standards existed against which equipment performance could be measured nor were any standard test methods or procedures available. It has been difficult for agencies to compare the performance of equipment items. Recognizing this problem, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) of the Department of Justice began a concentrated program in 1971, toward the improvement of law enforcement equipment.

As the first step in its program, LEAA in cooperation with the Department of Commerce established a Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory (LESL) at the National Bureau of Standards (NBS). The broad goal of LESL is to prepare performance standards which can be promulgated by LEAA as voluntary aids for the selection of equipment by law enforcement agencies. Additionally, LESL is developing standard test methods and procedures, so that the relative performance of similar items may be evaluated by departments themselves.

In order to provide equipment user information for the program, in 1971 the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice (NILECJ) of LEAA asked the Behavioral Sciences Group of the Technical Analysis Division at NBS to gather information from the users of law enforcement equipment about their specialized equipment needs and problems. Although face-to-face interviews with a large sample of representatives from law enforcement agencies would have been desirable, time and manpower constraints led to the development of a nationwide mail sample survey having two general objectives: (1) To assist NILECJ in the establishment of priorities for LESL's standards development activities; and (2) to obtain detailed information about certain broad equipment categories in support of the research to develop standards and guidelines in these areas.

This report fulfills part of the second general objective and the associated survey questionnaire (see app. A) will be referred to as the Sirens and Lights Detailed Questionnaire (DQ). The remainder of the second objective is accomplished in the

reports of the other five DQs: Alarms, Security and Surveillance Systems; Handguns and Handgun Ammunition; Communications Equipment and Supplies; Body Armor and Confiscated Weapons; and Patrol Cars. The first general objective (above) is accomplished in the report on the Equipment Priorities Questionnaire (EPQ).

1.2. Sample Design

Although the objective of ATD is to serve all types of law enforcement agencies, this particular study was purposefully limited to police departments as the largest single group of law enforcement agencies with identifiable equipment needs. No attempt was made to survey correctional institutions, courts, forensic laboratories, or special police agencies such as park police, harbor patrols, or university police. The computerized directory of approximately 14,000 police agencies, compiled and maintained by LEAA's Statistics Division, provided the population from which the sample was drawn. Care was taken to exclude the double listings that existed for some agencies. (Details of the selection process are given in app. B of the Equipment Priorities Questionnaire.)

The final list of 12,842 departments was cross-stratified by LEAA geographic region and department type by the mutual agreement of NBS and NILECJ. The assignment of states to regions and the seven department types chosen for study are shown in table 1.2-1. The breakdown of the population of police departments by cross-strata is exhibited in table 1.2-2. As can be seen from the table, there were no townships in regions 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10. Almost 63 percent of the departments were city police, 43 percent having 1-9 full-time officers. County departments comprised about 24 percent of the population. By region, the smallest, region 10, contained only 3.4 percent of the police departments, while region 5, the largest, had 22.5 percent. The variation in the number of departments in a cell (region/department type combination) was even greater than that across the strata, i.e., the number of departments in each cell ranged from 0 to 1,470.

The considerations discussed in the previous paragraph led to the sampling plan discussed briefly below. All of the state departments and the 50 largest city departments were included in the sample and were asked to complete all 6 DQs, i.e., they were sent the entire package of 7 questionnaires. For the remaining cells the variation in cell size presented a problem: If the same fraction of the entire population was to be selected from the members of each cell, a constant sampling fraction small enough to make the total sample manageable would yield too few sample units in small cells. To solve this problem, a fixed sample of 30 police departments/cell was chosen, wherever possible, resulting in a different sampling fraction for each cell. A fixed sample size of 30 departments/cell was chosen to facilitate the equitable distribution of the 6 DQs. This plan resulted in sending the Sirens and Lights DQ to 528 departments.

The departments were selected randomly within each cell, from the total cell population, each department (other than the states and 50 largest cities) receiving 2 DQs. Thus, in cells having 30 sample units, the Sirens and Lights DQ was mailed to 10 departments; cells having fewer sample units were allocated proportionally fewer Sirens and Lights DQs. Table 1.2-3 presents the total sample for the Sirens and Lights DQ by region and department type.

Once the sample was selected, each sample unit was assigned a unique seven-digit identification number, coding region, type, and questionnaire assignment.

LEAA Police Equipment Survey of 1972, Vol. 1: The Need for Standards—Priorities for Police Equipment.

TABLE 1.2-1. Stratification categories

Department types	LEAA geographic region
State police	1 = Conn., Maine, Mass., N.H., R.I., Vt.
County police and sheriffs	2 = N.J., N.Y.
City with 1-9 officers	3 = Del., Md., Pa., Va., W. Va., D.C.
City with 10-49 officers	4 = Ala., Fla., Ga., Ky., Miss., N.C., S.C., Tenn.
City with 50 or more officers 1	5 = Ill., Ind., Mich., Ohio, Wis., Minn.
The 50 largest U.S. cities ²	6 = Ark., La., N. Mex., Okla., Tex.
Township departments	7 = Iowa, Kans., Mo., Nebr.
• •	8 = Colo., Mont., N. Dak., S. Dak., Utah, Wyo.
	9 = Ariz., Calif., Nev., Hawaii
	10 = Alaska, Idaho, Oreg., Wash.

Excluding the 50 largest cities.

By population, U.S. 1970 census.

TABLE 1.2-2. Number of police departments by region and type

	LEAA region												
Department type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total		
State	6	2	5	8	6	5	4	6	4	4	50		
County	66	84	257	764	536	506	413	288	103	120	3,137		
City (1-9 officers)	27	348	713	979	1,470	703	611	283	135	217	5,486		
City (10-49 officers)	40	237	166	344	508	230	142	71	168	79	1,985		
City (50+ officers)	60	64	36	83	119	46	23	19	87	17	554		
50 largest cities	1	4	5	8	10	8	3	1	8	2	50		
Township	629	349	362	-	234	-	-		-	-	1,574		
Total	829	1,088	1,544	2,186	2,883	1,498	1,196	668	505	439	12,836		

Questionnaires were actually sent to 56 state police departments since there were 6 state departments which listed 2 police agencies without reference to a common central agency. However, only one set of questionnaires was accepted from each of these six states as described in vol. I, app. B, p. B-2.

TABLE 1.2-3. Number of departments selected to receive the Detailed Questionnaire: Sirens and lights-by region and department type

	LEAA geographic region												
Department type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total		
State 1	6	2	5	8	6	5	4	6	4	4	50		
County	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100		
City (1-9 officers)	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	99		
City (10-49 officers)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100		
City (50+ officers)	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	6	10	6	89		
50 largest cities	1	4	5	8	10	8	3	1	8	2	50		
Township ²	10	10	10	-	10	-	-	-	-		40		
Total	56	56	60	56	66	53	44	43	52	42	528		

Questionnaires were actually sent to 56 state police departments since there were 6 state departments which listed 2 police agencies without reference to a common central agency. However, only one set of questionnaires was accepted from each of these six states.

Township departments exist only in regions 1, 2, 3, and 5.

1.3. Questionnaire Administration

From the beginning of the project, it was evident that stringent control would be required in administering the questionnaires to ensure a high rate of response. Computer-stored daily status records were input via a teletypewriter for each sample department. In general, the following procedure was used:

- (1) Each department in the sample was mailed a letter, signed by the director of NILECJ, addressed to the head of the department. This letter introduced the survey and requested cooperation.
 - (2) About 1 week later, the questionnaire packages were mailed.
- (3) Departments not returning the questionnaires within a month were identified by the computer and were sent a self-return post card requesting information as to the status of the questionnaires. Departments not receiving the questionnaire package were sent another; those not returning the post card were placed on a list for telephone follow-up.
- (4) About a month and a half later, departments with which no contact had been made were called by telephone.
- (5) Returned questionnaires were reviewed for completeness and either coded for keypunching or filed for telephone callback to supply missing data or to resolve ambiguities.

Considerable effort was expended to ensure a high rate of response, and this effort was rewarded with an 83 percent response for the Sirens and Lights DQ, and between 80 percent and 85 percent for each of the other questionnaires. In the course of the survey more than 70 percent of the sample departments were contacted at least once by telephone. More than 1,300 phone calls were made by the survey team.

The distribution of respondents (departments which returned usable Sirens and Lights DQs) is exhibited in table 1.3-1. The highest percentages of response were from the states and larger cities (over 90%), while counties and townships had the poorest response rates (under 75%).

T_{ABLE} 1.3-1. Number of departments returning acceptable Detailed Questionnaires: Sirens and lights

					LEA	A geo	graph	ic reg	ion			
Department type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total	Percent total sample
State ¹	6	2	5	8	6	5	3	6	3	3	47	94
County	2	10	6	8	9	2	7	9	9	9	71	71
City (1-9 officers)	7	9	8	9	7	7	10	7	7	6	78	79
City (10-49 officers)	9	9	9	6	9	9	9	9	7	8	84	84
City (50+ officers)	9	7	10	10	10	10	6	6	10	5	83	93
50 largest cities	1	3	4	7	9	8	3	1	8	2	46	92
Township ²	5	8	9	-	7	•		-		-	29	72
Total	39	48	51	48	57	41	38	38	44	33	437	83
Percent total sample	70	86	85	86	86	77	86	88	85	79	83	

¹Questionnaires were actually sent to 56 state police departments since there were 6 states which listed 2 police agencies without reference to a sommon central agency. However, only one set of questionnaires was accepted from each of these six states.

Township departments exist only in regions 1, 2, 3, and 5.

1.4. Development and Design of the Sirens and Lights DQ

The survey plan and questionnaire design (of all seven questionnaires) evolved over a 12-month period. During this time, the survey team consulted at length with NILECJ equipment experts, LESL program managers, and equipment manufacturers. In addition, the officers and administrators of about 40 police departments served as consultants and/or as respondents for pretests of various versions of the questionnaires.

The Sirens and Lights DQ, in its final form, is reproduced in appendix A. This DQ asked respondents to describe sirens, lights, and other emergency warning equipment used in their departments; to describe the "most commonly used" brands of sirens and emergency warning lights in use; to indicate procedures for choosing and testing emergency warning equipment; and to describe problems with that equipment. The questionnaire was limited to general topics because: (1) It was not possible, considering the scope of the present survey, to explore in a detailed manner all of the emergency warning devices used in police departments, and (2) it was felt that the general data gathered in the present effort would provide important direction for research in the development of standards, the main objective of the survey.

1.5. Characteristics of Subsample Groups

The EPQ of the LEAA Police Equipment Survey requested data from each department about population served; physical size of jurisdiction served; type of jurisdiction; number of full- and part-time officers; approximate total, equipment, and personnel budgets during 1971; and activities handled by the department.

Table 1.5-1 presents a partial tabulation, by department type, of the responses to a checklist of 30 typical police activities by the respondents to the EPQ. (The EPQ respondents include, but are not limited to, the respondents to the Sirens and Lights DQ. See sec. 1.2.). The activities most frequently checked by all departments were: (1) Serve traffic and criminal warrants (88%), (2) traffic safety and traffic control (87%), and (3) communications for own department (87%). The activity with the most consistent level across all department types was that of emergency aid and rescue, ranging from 60 percent (cities with 50+ officers) to 67 percent (counties).

Higher percentages of state and 50 largest city departments than of other department types were handling certain of the 30 activities. For example, all of the 50 largest city departments responding, and 98 percent of the responding state departments said that their departments provided police training for their own department. These compare to 68 percent for all responding departments. All of the responding 50 largest cities said that they handled criminal investigation in their own departments. This compares to 86 percent of the total sample of departments. Although only 13 percent of the departments overall had crime laboratories, 73 percent of the 50 largest cities and 55 percent of the states had them.

Counties appeared to be the only department type with significant responsibilities for custody and detention for more than 1 week. Seventy-eight percent of those departments had custody/detention up to 1 year, as compared with 22 percent of all responding departments.

Tables 1.5-2 and 1.5-3 present summaries of descriptive data by department type and LEAA region, respectively. As can be seen from the column for "annual equipment budget" (table 1.5-2), there was a wide range of expenditures among different department types: From a mean of about \$10,000 for cities (1-9) to almost \$2.7 million for the 50 largest cities. Overall, equipment budgets represented somewhat over 10 percent of the annual total budgets.

The mean number of part-time officers was based on those respondents having part-time officers in their departments. Of the 45 departments responding from the 50 largest cities, only 6 had part-time officers, including 1 city which had nearly 6,000.

Table 1.5-1. Activities handled by at least one-third of the departments by department type, and percent of total departments having each activity

		Percent	of tota	l departme	ents havi	ng each ac	tivity	
Description of activity	State	County	City (1-9)	City (10-49)	City (50+)	50 largest	Town- ship	Tota
Serve traffic and criminal warrants	70	89	84	89	94	87	93	88
Traffic safety and traffic control	92	56	94	96	96	98	94	87
Communications for own department	94	86	76	95	94	96	70	87
Criminal investigation	66	86	71	95	97	100	79	86
Police training for own department	98	55	48	77	87	100	42	68
Custody/detention-less than 1 day	-	79	51	73	72	80	43	65
Breath-alcohol test	89	46	47	72	83	91	49	64
Emergency aid and rescue	62	67	62	63	60	67	62	63
Public building protection		40	63	60	58	44	68	54
Service function			48	55	60	60	42	48
Animal control (dogcatcher)			58	63	42		37	44
Highway patrol	96	38	48	36	-	-	88	43
Maintenance of police buildings	51	36	34	41	48	47		40
Custody/detention-1 week or less		73		36	46	49		38
Communications for other agency	66	56		40	-	-		36
Serve civil process		88						32
Police training for other agency	77				42	84		24
Custody/detention-up to 1 year	-	78			-			22
Underwater recovery	34	42				42		19
Bomb disposal	45				-	82		17
Polygraph	62				36	90		17
Vehicle inspection	55							17
Crime laboratory	55					73		13
Narcotics laboratory analysis	43					62		11
Harbor patrol								7
Lab analysis for blood alcohol	34					53		7
Other								6
Coroner								5
Test for driver's license	34							3
Custody/detention-more than 1 year	31							3

TABLE 1.5-2. Descriptive data by department type (means)

Department type	Area (mi²)	Population	Number of full-time officers	Number of part-time officers	Annual total budget	Annual equipment budget	Annual personnel budget
50 largest	187	851,342	2,491	1,115	\$43,268,865	\$2,669,920	\$34,712,818
State	62,580	3,936,410	889	18	16,377,358	2,304,339	12,020,572
County	1,518	130,254	60	25	1,089,919	58,539	859,984
City (50+)	31	83,334	132	26	1,733,340	173,099	1,407,177
City (10-49)	12	15,849	22	9	257,927	24,362	206,157
Township	28	13,228	14	8	175,654	20,854	141,675
City (1-9)	9	5,038	8	5	82,381	9,764	60,061

TABLE 1.5-3. Descriptive data by LEAA region (means)

LEAA region	Area (mi²)	Population	Number of full-time officers	Number of part-time officers	Annual total budget	Annual equipment budget	Annual personnel budget
1	750	158,112	96	18	\$1,360,155	\$ 135,130	\$ 979,911
2	648	240,781	365	97	7,148,315	148,172	5,265,546
3	1,096	245,733	216	7	3,412,567	435, 153	2,879,293
4	3,691	340,996	151	11	2,318,382	248,600	1,767,292
5	2,652	448,174	283	8	4,916,607	431,478	3,879,374
6	5,738	271,386	160	17	2,193,823	160,363	1,709,910
7	2,379	112,094	84	9	1,220,385	121,001	983,696
8	6,346	83,023	54	9	728,549	77,081	568,463
9	4,218	372,094	281	46	5,743,553	728,801	4,528,692
10	3,580	104,877	69	9	1,253,894	82,198	1,011,604

Thus, the mean value of 1,111 for this department type is somewhat misleading. It should be noted that the category part-time officers included officers described as auxiliary, volunteer, reserve, school-crossing guard, dispatcher, summer, special agent, traffic supervisor, posse, and cadet. All of these classifications were counted in the part-time officer category since it has different meanings for different departments.

Variations in these descriptive averages by LEAA region (table 1.5-3) were considerably smaller than variations by department type. Regions 1 and 8 had smaller budgets than the others, primarily because each had only 1 of the 50 largest cities.

2. QUESTION BY QUESTION DISCUSSION

2.1. Advice to the Reader

In reading section 2, certain points should be kept in mind:

- (1) This report is not an evaluation of any of the equipment described or discussed within it. It is a presentation of information and opinions of a stratified random sample of police departments given in response to a specific set of questions. It does not, in any way, reflect objective testing of any equipment by the National Bureau of Standards.
- (2) The report reflects only what police departments were willing and able to say in response to a specific set of questions. In most cases, no attempt was made to verify the accuracy of the information given or the level of sophistication of the respondent.
- (3) Each discussion begins with the presentation of the question that appeared in the questionnaire, and in most cases the choices supplied, if any, set off in bold face type. However, the reader is cautioned to become familiar with the questionnaire sent to sample departments (see app. A) and to evaluate the data in terms of the exact questions asked.
- (4) The text tables that appear in section 2 are almost never the complete tables that were tabulated for that question. Data categories for text tables may have been collapsed from the full table, or certain categories of interest may have been singled out for fuller discussion. Appendix B contains the complete tables from which the text tables were extracted. Text tables have been numbered after the question number (e.g., the text tables for Question 6A would be numbered 6A-1, 6A-2, etc.). The tables in appendix B are also numbered the same as the question number, in the same manner. In some cases, tables that appear in appendix B will not have been discussed at all in the text.

- (5) Data in the text of this report are usually presented by nearest whole percent of the group under consideration. In appendix B, the data are usually presented by number of respondents and percent. Because of statistical limitations imposed by the sample sizes used in this study, the reader is cautioned to be wary of assigning importance to percentage differences of less than 5 percent when percentages are based on the total number of respondents, and to percentage differences of less than 10 percent when percentages are based on one of the subsample groups (e.g., a particular department type or region). No statistical tests of significance are reported.
- (6) Data were always tabulated by each of the choices supplied, if any, in the questionnaire. Any "other" choices written in by the respondents were also tabulated and/or recorded verbatim. In most cases, the numbers of respondents giving a specific "other" response do not reflect the numbers of respondents who might have marked that choice if it had been one of those provided. Therefore, in most cases, this report lists or gives examples of "other" responses, but does not present numbers or percents of departments giving that response. For those questions for which choices were not provided in the questionnaire, coding categories were developed after approximately one-fourth of the questionnaires had been returned.
- (7) The following convention has been adopted in the report to designate the four city department types:

City with 1-9 officers=city (1-9)

City with 10-49 officers=city (10-49)

City with 50 or more officers=city $(50+)^2$

The 50 largest cities=50 largest³

In table headings this same convention has been used.

- (8) Questions which asked departments to identify manufacturers of their equipment were asked in this manner only to make the question clearer; not to evaluate a manufacturer's product.
- (9) In an attempt to make this report more readable, the main topics of the questionnaire have been reordered in the report; the discussion of the findings does not follow the order of the questions. To find the discussion of a particular question quickly, consult the Contents or the List of Tables.
- (10) When the subsample groups are discussed (e.g., "counties said..." or "cities (1-9) said...") the reference is to the responding departments from one of the sample strata. It is particularly important to note that when the text or tables refer to "all departments" or "all responding departments," the reference is to all responding departments from the sample described in section 1.2. This sample was not proportional to the total population of police departments, and although it is possible to do so, the data in this report have not been weighted to allow direct extrapolation to the total population. (See app. B, p. B-1.)

2.2. Discussion

2.2.1. Characteristics of Respondents

a. Rank/Title of Respondents

All of the questionnaires in the LEAA Police Equipment Survey were sent to the chief (or highest official) of the department with a request that the questionnaires be directed to the person or persons within the department who were best qualified to answer the questions.

²Excluding the 50 largest U.S. cities.

By population, 1970 U.S. Census.

The sirens and lights questionnaire was usually filled in by the chief/unit head in smaller departments and by officers with the ranks of captain, lieutenant, or sergeant in the larger departments. In state departments and in the 50 largest cities about one-fifth of the respondents had civilian titles. In county departments the questionnaire was usually filled in by the sheriff or one of his deputies. (See table i.)

b. Number of Years of Law Enforcement Experience

The sirens and lights questionnaire was usually filled in by experienced officers. More than 80 percent of the questionnaires from states and larger city departments (50 largest and 50+) were completed by officers with more than 10 years of law enforcement experience. Forty percent or more of the primary respondents in these department types had more than 20 years of law enforcement experience. About half of the officers who filled in the county, city (1-9), and township questionnaires had more than 10 years of law enforcement experience. (See table ii.)

T_{ABLE} i. Title/rank of primary respondent for the sirens and lights questionnaire, by department type

	Department type (by %)											
Rank/title	All depts. [n=437]	City (1-9) [n=77]	City (10-49) [n=84]	City (50+) [n=84]	50 largest [n=46]	State [n=47]	County [n=71]	Town- ship [n=29]				
Chief	32	78	60	20	2	0	3	38				
Captain	13	1	6	27	11	38	7	3				
Lieutenant	10	3	5	19	24	13	4	10				
Sergeant	9	6	12	6	13	13	6	17				
Sheriff	7	0	0	0	0	0	44	0				
Deputy	3	1	0	0	0	0	20	0				
"Non-rank" title	8	4	5	6	22	19	3	3				

Table ii. Years of experience in law enforcement of primary respondent by department type

	Years of experience in law enforcement (by %)			
Department type	More than 10 years	More than 20 years	More than 25 years	
State	85	50	22	
50 largest	84	45	15	
City (50+)	83	40	15	
City (10-49)	70	36	18	
Township	55	13	10	
County	50	20	12	
City (1-9)	48	18	4	

34. How many standard patrol cars does your department have? Number

Question 34 was included in order to have a reference point for other questions concerning numbers of patrol cars equipped with various lights and sirens. The report of the patrol cars questionnaire⁴ of this survey presents more detailed information about numbers and kinds of patrol cars in use in each department type.

T_{ABLE} 34. Percentages of departments in each department type having specified numbers of patrol cars

		cars ents)		
Department type	Less than 5	5-50	51-500	More than 500
State	0	2	53	45
50 largest	0	2	87	11
City (50+)	4	88	5	0
County	56	32	3	0
City (10-49)	82	14	0	0
Township	83	17	0	0
City (1-9)	90	4	0	0
All departments	47	27	17	6

2.2.2. Use of Emergency Warning Equipment on Patrol Cars

2.2.2.1. Sound Sources on Patrol Cars

1. Which of the following sound sources do your patrol cars have in addition to, or instead of, what is found on an ordinary passenger car? (Mark X by Each Item That Your Cars Have.)

Special loud horn

Electronic siren and speaker

Public address system

Mechanical or electromechanical siren

Other source of sound (describe briefly)

Over three-fourths (82%) of the 437 responding departments had electronic sirens on at least some of their patrol cars, and 41 percent had mechanical or electromechanical sirens so represented. Smaller percentages of county and city (1-9) departments had electronic sirens than did larger cities. In every department type a higher percentage of departments were using electronic sirens than were using mechanical or electromechanical sirens. States and counties were using mechanical or electromechanical sirens more than the other department types.

Assuming that nearly all departments had sirens of one kind or the other, about one-fourth of the responding departments were using both electronic and mechanical or electromechanical sirens within the same department, and a slightly greater proportion of states and of the 50 largest cities had both types represented.

⁴LEAA Police Equipment Survey of 1972, Vol. VII: Patrol Cars.

Fifty-nine percent of the responding departments had a public address system as part of their patrol cars' emergency warning system. More townships and larger city departments (city (50+), city (10-49), and 50 largest) had PA systems than did states and counties. About half (53%) of the city (1-9) departments had PA systems in comparison with about two-thirds (61-72%) of the larger city departments.

Special loud horns were used by only about 4 percent of the responding departments.

Table 1-1. Percentages' of departments in each department type reporting use of electronic sirens and mechanical or electromechanical sirens

Department type	Percent of departments having electronic sirens	Percent of departments having mechanical/electro- mechanical sirens
50 largest	96	41
City (50+)	92	42
City (10-49)	83	33
Townships	83	24
State	81	57
County	75	51
City (1-9)	73	36
All departments	82	41

The categories are not mutually exclusive. Departments may have been using both types of sirens.

TABLE 1-2. Percentages of departments in each department type having public address systems and special loud horns on their patrol cars

Department type	Percent of departments having PA system	Percent of departments having loud horn
City (50+)	72	4
City (10-49)	68	6
Township	66	0
50 largest	61	0
City (1-9)	53	5
State	47	4
County	41	4
Al. Jepartments	59	4

2.2.2.2. Light Sources on Patrol Cars

24. What lights or reflectors do your patrol cars usually have in addition to, or instead of, those found on an ordinary passenger car? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.)

Special reflectors or areas of reflectorizing material

Special turn signal lights (sometimes may also be used as "fourway" flashers)

Special clearance or marker light (like those on trucks)

Hand controlled spotlights (not colored)

Fog lights or auxiliary driving lights

Alley or ambush lights (spotlights or floodlights mounted so they aim to the side, not colored)

Automatic flasher that can flash the headlights alternately

Colored flashing or steady burning lights in grille (other than standard parking lamps or turn signals)

Revolving or flashing lights on roof or roof-bar ("Gumball," "bubble," or "strobe" lights)

Any other warning lights showing to the front? (Describe)

Any other warning lights showing to the rear? (Describe)

It was expected that almost all departments would have flashing lights of some sort on top of their patrol cars, and this was the case in every department type except counties. More than 90 percent of the responding departments in all other department types had revolving or flashing lights on the roof; only 83 percent of counties had such lights.

Grille lights were used by slightly greater proportions of counties (21%), states (19%), and cities (1-9) (17%) than by the other three city department types (9-11%). Grille lights may have been the emergency warning light source for those departments that were not using revolving or flashing lights on the roof.

Sixty-nine percent of the responding departments were using hand-controlled (noncolored) spotlights on their patrol cars. Higher percentages of the 50 largest cities, townships, and cities (50+) were using hand-controlled spotlights and lower percentages of states and counties were using them.

In addition to the more commonly expected light sources (flashing lights on roof, grille lights, hand-controlled spotlights), Question 24 solicited responses concerning a number of other emergency warning light sources on patrol cars. The most frequently

Table 24-1. Percentages' of departments having flashing roof lights, grille lights, and spotlights, by department type

Department type	Departments using revolving or flash- ing lights on roof	Departments using grille lights	Departments using hand-controlled noncolored spotlights
50 largest	98	9	80
Townships	97	14	79
City (50+)	95	11	75
City (10-49)	95	11	69
State	94	19	60
City (1-9)	91	17	66
County	83	21	61
All departments	93	14	69

Categories were not mutually exclusive. Any department may have been using any or all of these light sources on its patrol cars.

reported of these additional light sources are presented in table 24-2. Special turn signal lights (which sometimes may be used as "four-way" flashers) were being used by more departments (36%) than the other additional light sources (16%).

Within the seven department types, the use of special turn signals was fairly even except for townships, where almost three-fifths of the departments reported using this light source. Special reflectors were used by a higher percentage of the 50 largest cities (30%) than the other department types. Only 7 percent of townships were using special reflectors. Very few states (2%) and townships (7%) were using alley or ambush lights, but nearly equal percentages (17-21%) of the other five department types were using them.

Three additional specific light sources (automatic headlight flasher, fog or auxiliary driving lights, and special clearance lights) were listed in the questionnaire for check-off. None of these was being used by as many as 10 percent of the responding departments.

Table 24-2. Percentages of departments having special turn signal lights, automatic headlight flashers, and special reflectors, by department type

	Percent of departments having:			
Department type	Special turn signals	Alley or ambush lights	Special reflectors	
Township	59	7	7	
City (1-9)	40	17	12	
City (10-49)	39	21	11	
County	34	17	15	
City (50+)	33	20	18	
50 largest	33	17	30	
State	26	2	21	
All departments	36	16	16	

Categories were not mutually exclusive.

Table 24-3. Percentages f of responding departments using each light source on patrol cars

Emergency warning light source	Percent of all respondents [n=437]
Flashing lights on roof	93
Hand-controlled spotlight	69
Special turn signal lights	36
Alley or ambush lights	16
Special reflectors	16
Colored lights in grille	14
Automatic headlight flasher	9
Fog or auxiliary driving lights	3
Special clearance light	2
Other rear flashing lights	18
Other front flashing lights	14
No answer	1

Percentages add to more than 100 percent since multiple answers were allowed.

Fourteen percent of the responding departments cited other warning lights showing to the front. These were most often described as steady red lights mounted on the roof (as opposed to revolving or flashing lights on the roof) or red spotlights (as opposed to noncolored spotlights). The 18 percent which described other warning lights showing to the rear most commonly reported flashing or deck lights in the rear window.

2.2.3. Characteristics of Electronic and Electromechanical Sirens

Instruction: Answer Questions 6-14 for the electronic siren most commonly used in your department. If you are not certain whether your most commonly used siren is electronic or electromechanical, put X in the box below and fill in the questions for electronic sirens on pages 4 to 7. Electromechanical sirens are asked about beginning on page 7.

I am uncertain what type my most commonly used siren is.

Instruction: Answer Questions 15-23 for the Electromechanical siren most commonly used in your department.

If your department does not use electromechanical sirens, skip to Question 24, page 11.

- 6. The most commonly used electronic siren in your department is:
- 15. The most commonly used electromechanical siren in your department is:
 - a. Model or Trade Name
 - b. Manufacturer

For Both Questions

c. Number of Patrol Cars Having It

The questionnaire was designed so that the answers to the questions could be categorized as a particular type of siren. This method of questioning was necessary because pretest interviews revealed that most departments had several different sirens in use at the same time. Section 2.2.3 discusses the reported characteristics of these "most commonly used" electronic and electromechanical sirens together; i.e., Questions 6 and 15 are discussed, then Questions 7 and 16, and so on, through Questions 14 and 23.

A greater variety of different manufacturers was cited for electronic sirens than for electromechanical sirens. The majority of both of these types of sirens was made by Federal Sign and Signal Corp. Of the 18,911 electronic sirens reported to be "most commonly used" in the departments, 84 percent were made by Federal. Of the 20,278 "most commonly used" electromechanical sirens, 79 percent were made by Federal and 14 percent were made by Sireno. No other specific manufacturer made as many as 5 percent of the reported sirens of either type.

Models are not reported since this information was obtained only to facilitate coding manufacturer and type of siren.

Note that the 180 departments using electromechanical sirens (42% of all respondents), reported slightly more "most commonly used" electromechanical sirens than did the 360 (81% of all respondents) departments using electronic sirens. There are two possible reasons for this finding: (1) Many departments reported during follow-up telephone calls that their departments now purchased electronic sirens as replacements when their electromechanical sirens failed. If this was a relatively recent change in purchasing decisions, it is possible that the majority of a department's sirens were still electromechanical, even though they were using some electronic sirens. (2) More than half of the state departments and about one-third of the 50 largest cities were using at

Table 6/15-1. Of the 360 departments using electronic sirens, percentages citing specified brand as most commonly used; and percentages of all "most commonly used" sirens of each specified brand

Manufacturer	Percent of departments naming brand as most commonly used [n=360]	Percent of all most commonly used sirens reported [n=18,911]
Federal	64	84
Motorola	5	*
General Electric	4	4
Stephenson/Smith & Wesson	4	2
Sominator (now Stephenson)	4	3
Dun-Bar Nunn (Unitrol)	3	1
Artisan Electronics	3	1
Sireno	2	1
Dietz	2	1
Denelcor	*	1
Other	3	*
No manufacturer given	7	1

^{*}Less than 1 percent.

T_{ABLE} 6/15-2. Of the 180 departments using electromechanical sirens, percentages citing specified brand as most commonly used; and percentages of all "most commonly used" sirens of each specified brand

Manufacturer	Percent of departments naming brand as most commonly used [n=180]	Percent of all most commonly used sirens reported [n=20,278]
Federal	61	79
Sireno	11	14
B & M Siren Co.	3	1
Other	5	*
No manufacturer given	20	5

^{*}Less than 1 percent.

least some electromechanical sirens. Both of these department types have, on the average, large fleets of patrol cars, and could have contributed disproportionately to the total numbers of sirens reported.

7. and 16. Where is this type electronic/electromechanical siren usually located?

On a utility bar above the roof

Right on the roof

On the right front fender

On the left front fender

Under the hood, right behind the grille and free from obstructions

Under the hood, in the engine compartment

Other (specify)

The most common location for electronic sirens was on top of the patrol car; 58 percent of the users of electronic sirens placed at least some of their sirens on a utility bar above the roof, and 13 percent placed at least some of them right on the roof. The larger city department types (50 largest, cities (50+), and cities (10-49)) were most likely to place the electronic sirens on a utility bar. States were more likely than other department types to mount electronic sirens under the hood, behind the grille.

Electromechanical sirens were most often placed under the hood of the patrol car; 48 percent of the users of electromechanical sirens placed at least some of those sirens under the hood, behind the grille; and 43 percent mounted at least some under the hood, in the engine compartment. More of the cities (10-49) and cities (50+) mounted their electromechanical sirens in the engine compartment than behind the grille. Other department types were using these two locations in almost equal proportions. Only 14 percent of the users of electromechanical sirens mounted any of these sirens on the utility bar and only 6 percent of the 203 departments mounted them right on the roof.

Almost none of the departments mounted either of these two types of sirens in any other location.

Table 7/16-1. Of the departments in each department type using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages mounting them on a utility bar or right on the roof of the patrol car

	On utility bar (in %)		Right on roof (in %)	
Department type	Electronic	Electro- mechanical	Electronic	Electro- mechanical
50 largest	61	16	30	5
City (50+)	74	14	16	11
City (10-49)	71	29	4	4
City (1-9)	52	18	12	0
Township	54	*	4	*
State	37	0	16	7
County	38	11	11	6
All departments	58	14	13	6

Categories are not mutually exclusive. Departments may have utilized both locations,

^{*}Fewer than 10 township departments used electromechanical sirens

Table 7/16-2. Of the departments in each department type using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages which mounted them behind the grille or in the engine compartment

	Behind the grille (in %)		In engine compartment (in %)	
Department type	Electronic	Electro- mechanical	Electronic	Electro- mechanical
50 largest	25	42	5	42
City (50+)	24	54	5	23
City (10-49)	28	46	4	46
City (1-9)	39	57	4	29
Township	33	*	17	*
State	45	48	18	59
County	58	39	11	58
All departments	35	48	8	43

Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table 7/16-3. Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages mounting them in specified location

	Percent of departments using:			
Location	Electronic sirens [n=360]	Electro- mechanical sirens [n=180]		
On utility bar	58	14		
Right on roof	13	6		
Behind grille	35	48		
In engine compartment	8	43		
On right fender	*	0		
On left fender	0	*		
Other	1	0		
No answer	*	1		

Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{*}Fewer than 10 township departments used electromechanical sirens.

^{*}Less than 1 percent.

8. and 17. What problems have you encountered with this type of electronic/electromechanical siren? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.)

They are too loud for some uses.

They sometimes freeze up in winter.

Sometimes motorists do not seem to hear them.

The officers cannot hear the radio.

There is a delay from the time the siren is turned on until it will actually make the sound.

Wiring problems.

Relay or switch problems.

We have had no problems because equipment is new.

We have had no problems even though equipment has been in use for some time.

Other (specify).

There were two "no problems" answers to this question: "No problems, equipment new"; and "no problems, equipment has been used." Higher percentages of the departments with electronic sirens marked each of these choices than did departments with electromechanical sirens. Thirty-six percent of the electronic siren users cited at least one problem with those sirens compared to 60 percent of the electromechanical siren users.

The states of the two largest city department types were most likely to cite problems with their electromechanical sirens.

Of the users of these two types of sirens which did cite problems, easily the most common problem cited, for both types, was "Sometimes motorists don't seem to hear them" (electronic=64%, electromechanical=88%). In addition, for electronic sirens, about one-fifth of the departments with any problems cited each of three categories: Freeze in winter, relay or switch problems, and wiring problems. For electromechanical sirens, two of those same categories were cited with highest frequency: Freeze in winter (37%) and relay or switch problems (25%).

"Other" problems cited with electronic sirens included transistor problems, speaker failures, and equipment not durable enough. "Other" problems associated with electromechanical sirens included mounting problems, siren drains the battery, and siren takes too long to cease output when it is turned off.

Table 8/17-1. Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages reporting "no problems," or "no answer"

Response	Percent of departments using:	
	Electronic sirens [n=360]	Electro- mechanical sirens [n=180]
No problems, equipment new	21	9
No problems, has been used	42	29
No answer	1	2
Total no problems/no answer	64	40

T_{ABLE} 8/17-2. Of the departments citing problems with their electronic or electromechanical sirens, the percentages citing specified problem

	Percent of departments with problem			
Problem	Electronic sirens [n=129]	Electro- mechanical sirens [n=106]		
Sometimes motorists do				
not seem to hear them	64	88		
Freeze up in winter	19	37		
Relay or switch problems	19	25		
Wiring problems	19	12		
Officer cannot hear radio	14	8		
Too loud for some uses	9	2		
Delay from time siren				
turned on until it sounds	5	11		
Other	30	27		

Percentages add to more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed. NOTE: Percentages in text table differ from tables in appendix since text table is based only on respondents with problems, while tables in appendix include all respondents.

9. and 18. Please rate the performance of this type electronic/electromechanical siren in terms of how often it must be repaired:

Needs repair more often than every 6 months
Needs repair every 6-12 months
Needs repair about once a year
Needs repair about once every 2 to 3 years
Needs repair less often than every 3 years
Never needed repair; have had for months

Electronic sirens and electromechanical sirens appeared to have similar frequencies of repair. About one-third of the users of each of these two types of sirens said their most commonly used electronic/electromechanical sirens needed repair once a year or more often, about one-third said their most commonly used siren had never needed repair. These answers were probably best estimates rather than data from records.

TABLE 9/18-1. Of the departments using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages citing each repair category

	Percent of departments using:			
Frequency of repair	Electronic sirens [n=360]	Electro- mechanical sirens [n=160]		
More than every 6 months	3	1		
Everly 6-12 months	7	8		
Once a year	18	12		
Once every 2 or 3 years	21	22		
Less than every 3 years	16	21		
Never needed repair	34	35		
No answer	l	3		

Table 9/18-2. Of the departments in each department type using electronic and electromechanical sirens, the percentages which had never had to repair their most commonly used siren

	Percent of departments never having to repair:			
Department type	Electronic sirens	Electromechanical sirens		
50 largest	11	16		
City (50+)	22	20		
State	29	15		
Township	37	*		
County	38	50		
City (10-49)	42	36		
City (1-9)	. 55	64		
All departments	34	35		

[•]Fewer than 10 townships were using electromechanical sirens

There were striking differences among the seven department types in their answers to this question. More than half (55%) of the cities (1-9) which used electronic sirens said they had never had to repair them, and 64 percent of the cities (1-9) which used electromechanical sirens had never had to repair them. This compares with 11 percent of the 50 largest city departments with electronic sirens, and 16 percent of the 50 largest city departments with electromechanical sirens.

Departments which had never needed to repair their sirens were asked to indicate how long they had had those sirens. It appears that the electronic sirens which had never needed repair were considerably newer than the never-repaired electromechanical sirens. Only 15 percent of the departments with never-repaired electronic sirens had had those sirens in use more than 3 years. But 37 percent of the departments with never-repaired electromechanical sirens had had them in use more than 3 years.

TABLE 9/18-3. Of the departments whose most commonly used sirens had never needed repair, length of time those sirens had been in use¹

	Percent of departments never having had to repair:			
Time in use	Electronic sirens [n=122]	Electromechanical sirens [n=63]		
l year or less	38	24		
13 months-2 years	26	17		
25 months-3 years	18	13		
37 months-4 years	6	10		
More than 4 years	9	27		
No answer	3	10		

Percentages in text table differ from tables in appendix since text table is based only on respondents never needing repair while tables in appendix include all respondents.

10 and 19. What part of component is the most common cause of breakdowns in this type electronic/electromechanical siren?

(CHOICES SUPPLIED FOR ELECTRONIC SIREN)

Speaker fails Electronics fail Control switch Other (specify)

(CHOICES SUPPLIED FOR ELECTROMECHANICAL SIREN)

Brushes
Bearings
Windings
Control switch
Other (specify)

As a result of pretest interviews with police departments and consultations with experts in this area, it was determined that the answer choices supplied for this question could not be identical for electronic and electromechanical sirens. Therefore, only the proportions of users of these two types that gave no answer or said "no failures" may be compared.

The percentages of departments which gave no answer or said "no failures" to this question were approximately equal for electronic and electromechanical siren users. In addition, no answer/no failure percentages for this question tended to parallel the "never needed repair" percentages from Questions 9 and 18 (except for the electromechanical siren users in the 50 largest cities).

Table 10/19 and 9/18. Of the departments in each department type using electronic and electromechanical sirens, the percentages reporting "never needed to repair" to questions 9 and 18, and giving "no answer" or reporting "no problems" to questions 10 and 19.

	Electronic sirens (in % of departments)		Electromechanical sirens (in % of departments)		
Department type	No answer/ no failures (Question 10)	No repairs (Question 9)	No answer/ no failures (Question 19)	No repairs (Question 18)	
50 largest	7	11	0	16	
City (50+)	22	22	20	20	
State	29	29	7	15	
Township	45	37	*	*	
County	40	38	47	50	
City (10-49)	37	42	36	36	
City (1-9)	50	55	61	64	
All departments	33	34	31	35	

^{*}Fewer than 10 townships used electromechanical sirens.

Table 10. Of the 243 electronic siren users that cited a cause of failure, percentages citing specified component

Component	Percent of electronic siren users that cited any cause of failure [n=243]
Speaker	39
Electronics	35
Control switch	21
Other	21

Percentages add to more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed.

The most common component/part causes of failures reported by the 243 electronic siren users that cited any failure were speaker (39%) and electronics (35%).

The 123 departments using electromechanical sirens that cited any component/part cause of failure most often said that failure was associated with the brushes (38%), control switch (36%), or bearings (31%).

For both siren types, the "other" causes of failure listed were, in almost all cases, not specifically related to a siren component. Rather, they were the kinds of problems mentioned in Questions 8 and 18: Motorists don't seem to hear siren, siren freezes in winter, equipment not durable, etc. For electronic sirens, transistors were mentioned most frequently in the category "other."

T_{ABLE} 19. Of the 123 electromechanical siren users that cited a cause of failure, percentages citing specified component'

Component	Percent of electromechanical users that cited any cause of failure [n=123]
Brushes	38
Control switch	36
Bearings	31
Windings	6
Other	19

Percentages in text table differ from those in appendix since text table percentages are based only on respondents who cited failure.

²Percentages in text table differ from tables in appendix since text table is based only on respondents citing failure while tables in appendix include all electronic siren owners.

11. About how long do you use most of your sirens of this type before the electronic package or speaker must be replaced?

THE ELECTRONICS THE SPEAKER Less than 1 year Less than 1 year 1-3 years 1-3 years 4-6 years 4-6 years **7-10** years **7-10** years More than 10 years More than 10 years Never needed to replace: Never needed to replace: have had for months. have had for months.

20. About how long do you use most electromechanical sirens of this type before they are replaced or rebuilt?

Less than 1 year

1-3 years

4-6 years

7-10 years

More than 10 years

Never needed to replace: have had for

months.

Although these two questions were slightly different, it is possible to make some limited comparisons of the lengths of time to replacement for electronic and electromechanical sirens. The most interesting aspect of these data is that a much higher percentage of electromechanical siren users had had their sirens in use for more than 10 years before needing to replace or rebuild them. And much higher percentages of electronic siren users had never had to replace the electronics or the speakers of their sirens.

Table 11/20-1. Of those departments using electronic and electromechanical sirens, percentages citing each length of time to replacement

	Electronic sirens				F1	
Length of time to replacement	Speaker [n=360]		Electronics [n=360]		Electromechanical sirens [n=180]	
Less than 1 year	2	21	1	11	0	01
1-3 years	19	21	12	13	11	11
4-6 years	16	37	17	30	18	29
7-10 years	9	46	12	42	21	50
More than 10 years	5		5		20	
Never replaced/rebuilt	42	••	49		26	
No answer	7		5		4	•-

Cumulative percentages.

Since it is known that electromechanical sirens have been commonly available to police departments longer than electronic sirens, these data were broken down in two additional ways: (1) All users who had ever replaced or rebuilt their "most commonly used" siren, and (2) all users who had never replaced/rebuilt that type of siren.

The data from only those departments which had ever replaced/rebuilt their sirens showed even more clearly that electromechanical sirens were in use longer before replacement than electronic sirens. Almost 60 percent of the electromechanical siren users (that had replaced sirens) had used the sirens at least 7 years before they had to

be replaced or rebuilt. In contrast, 37 percent of the electronic siren users (that had replaced sirens) had been able to use their speakers that long, and only 27 percent had been able to use the electronic package as many as 6 years.

The data from only those departments which had never replaced/rebuilt their sirens again showed the electromechanical sirens were in use longer without need for replacement than electronic sirens. Twenty-six percent of the electromechanical siren users that had never replaced/rebuilt them had had those sirens in use for more than 5 years. This compares with 10 percent of the electronic siren users that had not replaced their speakers and 7 percent of the electronic siren users that had not replaced the electronics.

Although all of these data appear to support the idea that electromechanical sirens last longer than electronic sirens, it is possible that the data are simply showing that police departments have not had any electronic sirens in use for a long number of years. In addition, these data do not reflect the levels of use ("on time") of these two types of sirens, nor do they reflect the conditions (e.g., weather) under which they may have been operated.

Table 11/20-2. Of the departments which had replaced (rebuilt) their electronic (speaker or electronics) or electromechanical sirens, percentages citing each length of time to replacement

	Percent of departments replacing			
	Electro	nic sirens		
Length of time to replacement	Speaker [n=166]	Electronics [n=180]	Electromechanical [n=126]	
Less than 1 year	2	4	0	
1-3 years	25	37	16	
4-6 years	37	32	25	
7-10 years	27	17	30	
More than 10 years	10	10	29	

NOTE: Percentages differ from those in appendix table since text table percentages are based only on respondents who have replaced or rebuilt sirens.

Table 11/20-3. Of the departments that had not replaced (rebuilt) their electronic or electromechanical sirens, percentages citing each "time in use" category

	never	of departments replacing nic siren:	Percent of departments	
Years in use	Speaker [n=153]	Electronics [n=177]	never replacing/rebuilding electromechanical siren [n=46]	
l year or less	27	25	22	
1-2 years	22	22	17	
2-3 years	20	20	11	
3-5 years	16	17	8	
5-8 years	9	6	11	
More than 8 years	1	l	15	
No answers	5	7	15	

NOTE: Percentages differ from those in appendix table since text table percentages are based only on respondents who have not replaced (rebuilt) sirens.

12. and 21. What improvements could be made in this type electronic/electromechanical siren?

No choices were provided for this question. The respondents' narrative answers were used to develop categories and then each answer was coded. About two-thirds of the siren users left this question blank; electronic siren users: 67 percent no answer, and electromechanical siren users: 64 percent no answer.

Of the 138 users of electronic sirens who suggested improvements, 19 percent said their sirens needed more power/were not loud enough, and 19 percent suggested improvements for the electronic siren speaker. There were a great many miscellaneous suggestions; 15 percent answered "other." The two improvements suggested most frequently logically follow answers to Questions 8 and 10: The most common problem reported for electronic sirens was that motorists seemed not to hear them, and the component reported to be the most likely to cause electronic siren breakdown was the speaker.

For electromechanical siren users also, increasing the volume of the siren was the improvement suggested most frequently (by those who made suggestions). Almost one-fifth of those departments, however, said that the way to improve their electromechanical sirens was to replace them with electronic sirens.

Sixteen percent of the departments suggesting improvements in their electromechanical sirens said that their sirens should be made smaller and/or lighter, a suggestion rarely made by electronic siren users. In Question 19, the components reported to be the most common cause of electromechanical siren breakdown were the brushes and bearings. These components were associated with 11 percent of the suggested improvements.

It must again be emphasized that the great majorities of electronic and electromechanical siren users suggested no improvements for their sirens. Tables 12 and 21 are based on the answers of only about one-third of all departments using each of these two types of sirens.

T_{ABLE} 12. Of the 138 departments using electronic sirens and suggesting improvements for those sirens, percentages¹ suggesting specified improvement²

Improvement	Percent of electronic siren users making suggestions [n=138]
Need more power/siren not loud enough	19
Speaker improvements, such as improved	
voice coil, greater power capacity,	19
improvement durability	19
Better protection for speakers against weather	13
Switches/controls unsatisfactory, com-	10
plicated, need greater flexibility	13
Need adjustable volume control (more	
flexible, greater output range)	9
More durable/better quality	9
Mounting (speaker and/or control) for	
audibility and convenience	8
Other	15

Percentages add to more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed.

Percentages differ from those in appendix since text table percentages are based only on respondents suggesting improvements.

Table 21. Of the 77 departments using electromechanical sirens and suggesting improvements for those sirens, percentages suggesting specified improvement²

Improvement	Percent of electromechanical sire users making suggestion [n=77]	
Increase volume/make siren louder	33	
Replace with electronic sirens	16	
Make smaller and lighter weight	16	
Improve mounting Improve brushes, bearings,	13	
lubrication system	11	
Better braking system/faster		
motor stop	8	
Other	8	

Percentages add to more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed.

13. and 22. Can you think of any other electronic/electromechanical siren currently on the market that might meet your needs better? (Please give model or trade name and manufacturer if known.)

Model:

Manufacturer:

14. and 23. What is there about this other type electronic/electromechanical siren that would make it better for your particular needs?

Almost no departments answered these questions: 93 percent of the electronic siren users gave no answer, and 96 percent of the electromechanical siren users gave no answer. These results do not necessarily mean that departments were satisfied with the equipment they had, they might be indicating lack of familiarity with other available equipment.

Since so few responses were elicited, they are not discussed here. See tables 13, 14, 22, and 23 in appendix B.

2.2.4 Emergency Warning Lights

Instructions: Please give the following information about the most common type of emergency warning lights (beacons or flashers) used in your department.

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

27.a. Model No. or Trade Name

27.b. Manufacturer

27.g. Mounted:

Directly on Vehicle

On Utility Bar

27.h. Number of patrol cars having this model of emergency warning light:

Percentages differ from those in appendix tables since text tables are based only on respondents suggesting improvements.

As for the questions about sirens, the questions about emergency warning lights (beacons or flashers) were phrased so that they could be referenced to particular lights (most common). This was done because pretests showed that many departments had more than one kind of beacon/flasher in use, and the questions would have been difficult to answer meaningfully for those departments which had two or more dissimilar lights in use.

In 61 percent of the responding departments, their most common beacon/flashing lights were made by Federal Sign and Signal Corp. Ten percent of the departments said their most common lights were made by Dietz. No other specific manufacturer was reported by as many as 5 percent of the departments for their most common beacons/flashing lights. (Model number was requested only to improve the accuracy in determining manufacturer.)

The 437 responding departments reported a total of 26,618 patrol cars equipped with their most common beacons/flashing lights. The majority of these patrol cars were equipped with either Federal (67%) or Dietz (16%) emergency warning lights. Only 17 percent of the patrol cars equipped with the departments' most common lights were made by manufacturers other than Federal or Dietz.

If the numbers of patrol cars equipped with "most common" electronic or electromechanical sirens (Questions 6C and 15C) are used as a minimum estimate of the numbers of patrol cars⁵ in the responding departments, and if it is assumed that most of these patrol cars would be equipped with beacons/flashing lights as well as sirens; the answers to these questions about most common emergency warning lights probably represent no more than 68 percent of all the emergency warning beacons/flashing lights in the responding departments. Any conclusions based on these data should, therefore, explicitly recognize that the data are based on only a portion (albeit an assumed majority) of the lights in use in those departments.

About three-fifths of the responding departments mounted their most common beacons/flashing lights on a utility bar above the roof of the vehicle and about two-fifths mounted them right on the vehicle. Only about 2 percent said they mounted these lights in both locations.

T_{ABLE} 27A/27B/27G/27H. Percentages of departments whose "most common" emergency warning beacons/flashing lights were made by each manufacturer, and where these lights were mounted. Percentages of patrol cars equipped with each brand of light

	Percent of	Percent of	Percent of depts. using that brand mounting it:		
Manufacturer	depts. using this brand as "most common" [n=437]	patrol cars reported [n=26,618]	Directly on vehicle	On utilit bar	
Federal	61	67	32	68	
Dietz	10	16	48	52	
Sireno	3	2	56	44	
Unity	3	2	55	44	
Whelen	2	3	38	63	
Trippe Man. Co.	2	2	55	44	
Other manufacturer	7	7	39	71	
No manufacturer given	12	1	51	49	
Total	100	100	38	62	

⁵A different but comparable sample of departments received the Patrol Cars Questionnaire of the LEAA Police Equipment Survey. The 449 respondents to that questionnaire reported about 46,000 patrol cars in use in their departments.

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

27.c. Number of lights per unit

The majority of the responding departments were using less than three lights per unit for their most common beacons/flashing lights: 18 percent used one light/unit, 44 percent used two lights/unit. A higher percentage of state departments (34%) were using only one light/unit than were the other department types. A higher percentage of the 50 largest cities (28%) were using four lights/unit.

Table 27C. Percentages of departments in each department type using specified number of lights per unit in their "most common" beacons/flashing lights

Department type	One light per unit	Two lights per unit	Three lights per unit	Four lights per unit	No answer
State	34	36	6	21	2
County	25	30	10	17	14
City (1-9)	21	40	16	12	8
50 largest	15	46	4	28	2
City (50+)	14	52	10	20	2
City (10-49)	10	55	6	18	10
Township	7	48	7	21	17
All departments	18	44	9	19	8

Instructions: Please give the following information about the most common type of emergency warning lights (beacons or flashers) used in your department.

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

27.d. Number of units per vehicle

About three-fourths of the responding departments reported that they had only one of their most common emergency warning light units per vehicle. Almost 9 out of 10 (89%) state departments had only 1 unit/vehicle compared to 68-76 percent of the departments in the other 6 department types.

Thirteen percent of the departments reported two units/vehicle and only 4 percent reported more than two units/vehicle.

T_{ABLE} 27D. Percentages of departments in each department type using specified number of units per vehicle

Department type	One unit per vehicle	Two units per vehicle	More than two units per vehicle	No answei
State	89	6	4	0
50 largest	76	17	4	2
City (10-49)	75	12	3	10
City (50+)	73	19	3	4
City (1-9)	69	12	9	10
Township	69	7	0	24
County	68	13	2	17
All departments	74	13	4	9

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

27.e. Color(s) of warning signal:

Red & Blue

Red & Clear

Clear

Red

Blue

Yellow (Amber)

Other (specify)

27.f. Color of dome

Three-quarters of the responding departments used red (either alone or in combination with other colors) for their most common emergency warning lights. One-half (56%) used only red warning signals. Within each of the seven department types, the greatest proportions of departments were using red warning signals, but a slightly smaller percentage of states were using red than the other department types.

About one-third (34%) of the departments were using blue warning signals (either alone or in combination with other colors), but only 21 percent were using only blue warning signals. A slightly greater percentage of state departments (34%) were using only blue warning signals than the other department types (range: 21% of townships to 28% of the 50 largest cities).

Table 27E-1. Percentages of departments in each department type using red or blue warning signals in their "most common" beacons/flashers

	Red				Blue		
Department type	Red only	Red and clear	Any red	Red and blue	Any blue	Blue and clear	Blue
City (1-9)	64	8	70	8	35	4	23
State	57	4	63	2	36	0	34
County	56	11	75	8	32	0	24
City (10-49)	54	12	77	11	34	2	21
City (50+)	54	10	72	8	33	2	23
50 largest	52	13	74	9	39	2	28
Township	45	21	73	7	28	0	21
All departments	56	11	75	8	34	2	24

Very few of the responding departments were using only clear (6%) or only yellow (7%) warning signals in their most common beacons/flashing lights. However, 19 percent of state departments reported that they were using only yellow warning signals.

Differences among department types in their use of various colors and color combinations were not striking. A few slight differences are noted above. Since developmental and pretest interviews with police departments revealed a high degree of interest in this question, a word of caution is warranted. These data cannot be used to

TABLE 27E-2. Percentages of departments in each department type using clear or yellow warning signals in their "most common" beacons/flashers

		Yellow/ambe			
Department type	Clear and red	Clear and blue	Any clear	Clear only	Yellow only
City (1-9)	8	4	13	1	9
State	4	0	6	2	19
County	11	0	14	3	11
City (10-49)	12	2	20	6	7
City (50+)	10	2	17	5	12
50 largest	13	2	19	4	11
Township	21	0	28	7	7
All departments	11	2	17	4	11

Table 27F. Percentages of responding departments using specified color of dome with their "most common" beacons/flashing lights

Color of dome	Percent of departments using that color [n=437]
Red	51
Blue	25
Clear	14
Yellow	1
Chrome 1	1
No answer	14

¹These departments probably confused the base of the dome with the dome itself.

evaluate the effectiveness of the different colors/color combinations as warning signals; they simply reflect what departments were using at the time of the survey. The Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory of the National Bureau of Standards is conducting tests to determine the efficacy of various colors of warning signals.

The percentages of departments using each color of dome for their most common beacons/flashing lights were similar to those for the various colors of warning signals: About half (51%) of the departments had red domes, one-fourth had blue domes, and 14 percent had clear domes. The unusually high percentage of "no answers" to this question (compared to Question 27E) can probably be attributed to the fact that no choices were supplied for Question 27F as they were for 27E.

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

28. About how long does this model of beacon or flashing light work before it needs repair or service? (other than lamp replacement)

Less than 1 year

1-3 years

4-6 years

7-10 years

More than 10 years

Never needed to repair; have had for months

Thirty-eight percent of the responding departments reported that they had to repair their beacons/flashing lights every 3 years or more often. In contrast, over half of the states (51%), cities 50+ (53%), and the 50 largest cities (55%), said they had to repair these lights every 3 years or more often. As was the case for sirens, smaller cities and townships were much more likely than larger cities and states to say they had never needed to repair their beacons/flashing lights. About one-third of all responding departments said never needed to repair, but 59 percent of townships and 52 percent of cities (1-9) gave that answer.

Of the 149 departments that had never needed to repair their beacons/flashing lights, 30 percent had had them for a year or less. Only 21 percent had had those lights more than 3 years.

Table 28. Percentages of departments in each department type reporting specified length of time before repair for their "most common" beacons/flashing lights

	Length of time before repair (by % of departments)					
Department type	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-6 years	7 years or more	Never needed to repair	
State	11	40	17	19	9	
City (50+)	10	43	17	6	23	
50 largest	9	46	26	11	9	
City (1-9)	5	25	13	5	52	
County	3	20	14	18	39	
City (10-49)	2	26	23	3	44	
Township	0	24	14	3	59	
All departments	6	32	18	9	34	

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

29. What are the most common causes of breakdown or malfunction in this model beacon or flasher?

Bulb failure Mechanical failure Failure caused by weather Other

Bulb failure was the most frequently cited cause of breakdown or malfunction of the departments' most common beacons/flashing lights: Over 40 percent of all responding departments chose this answer, and about one-third or more of the departments in every department type chose this answer. No answer, which most probably meant that the department had had no failures (see Question 28), were much more common for counties and smaller cities than for states, cities (50+), and the 50 largest cities.

Much higher percentages of states, cities (50+), and the 50 largest cities answered this question. As many of the state departments cited mechanical failure as the most common cause of light malfunction as cited bulb failure. In contrast, about twice as many of the city (50+) and 50 largest city departments cited bulb failure as cited mechanical failure.

"Other" causes of malfunction mentioned were damage caused by car wash, domes/glass breaking, and poor grounding.

TABLE 29. Percentages of departments in each department type reporting specified common cause of breakdown or malfunction for their "most common" beacons/flashers

			f failure or 1 % of depart		
Department type	Bulb failure	Mechanical failure	Weather caused failure	Other cause of failure	No failure/ no answer
50 largest	61	30	11	15	4
City (50+)	55	24	12	10	12
Township	45	14	7	7	35
State	40	40	15	15	6
County	39	17	4	1	42
City (1-9)	34	17	8	10	33
City (10-49)	32	21	5	11	37
All departments	43	23	8	10	26

Percentages add to slightly more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed.

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

30. About how long can this model of emergency warning light be used before it must be replaced?

Less than 1 year

1-3 years

4-6 years

7-10 years

11-15 years

More than 15 years

Never needed to replace; have had for

months

A slightly higher percentage of the responding departments said they had never needed to replace their beacons/flashing lights (40%) than said they had never needed to repair them (34%, Question 28). As was the case with repair, lower percentages of the states and 50 largest cities had never needed to replace those lights than the other five department types.

Of the 174 departments which had never needed to replace their lights, about twothirds had had those emergency warning lights in use for 3 years or less; about threefourths had had them in use for 4 years or less. There is reason to suggest, therefore, that the states and 50 largest cities may have had different purchasing practices than the smaller department types.

The most frequent time period to replacement was between 4 and 10 years after purchase (35% of all responding departments). Only 10 percent of all the responding departments said they could use these beacons/flashers more than 10 years before replacing them; but 15 percent of states and 24 percent of the 50 largest cities said they could use their lights more than 10 years.

T_{ABLE} 28/30. Percentages of departments in each department type which had never needed to repair (question 28) or never needed to replace (question 30) their "most common" beacons/flashing lights

	Never needed to:				
Department type	Replace	Repair			
50 largest	15	9			
State	28	9			
City (50+)	35	23			
County	39	39			
City (10-49)	44	44			
City (1-9)	55	52			
Township	62	59			
All departments	40	34			

Table 30. Percentages of departments in each department type which cited specified time to replacement interval for their "most common" beacons/flashing lights

Department type	0-3 years	4-6 years	7-10 years	ll+ years	No answer/
50 largest	13	20	26	24	17
State	9	13	30	15	34
City (50+)	10	18	23	9	40
County	1	15	21	8	53
City (10-49)	11	15	18	5	52
City (1-9)	9	13	6	9	63
Township	7	14	14	0	65
All departments	8	16	19	10	47

Most Used Beacon or Flashing Light

- 31. What improvements can you suggest for this model of emergency warning lights?
- 32. Can you think of any other emergency warning light currently on the market that might meet your needs better? (Please give model, manufacturer, type, color, if known.)

Model:

Manufacturer:

Type:

Color:

33. What is there about this other light that would make it meet your needs better?

Eighty-eight percent of the responding departments left Questions 32 and 33 blank. Those data will not be presented here. However, about one-fourth of the departments did suggest improvements for their most common emergency warning lights. The respondents' narrative answers were coded and tabulated. The most frequent improvements mentioned were "make more visible," "make more weatherproof," and "improve motor/bearings/gears."

TABLE 31. Of the 115 departments suggesting improvements for their "most common" emergency warning lights, percentages citing specified improvement2

Improvement	Percent of departments suggesting any improvement [n=115]
Make lights brighter/more intense/more	
visible, etc.	21
Make unit more weatherproof/sealing	19
Improve motors/bearings/gears	16
Better quality/more durable	9
Improve mounting	8
More theft-proof/vandal-proof	6
Change to blue lights	6
Increase flash speed/turning rate	5
Improve domes	5

Activities for Which Emergency Warning Equipment Is Used 2.2.5.

2. Which of the following do your officers usually use when signalling a motorist to pull over during the daytime? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.)

Siren Horn

Public Address System

Flashing Lights

3. Which of the following do your officers usually use when signalling a motorist to pull over at night? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.) (Same Choices Supplied)

Almost all of the responding departments said they used flashing lights to signal motorists to pull over: 90 percent for daytime signals and 99 percent for nighttime signals. In addition, nearly two-thirds of the departments used sirens to signal to pull over: 64 percent for daytime and 62 percent at night. Forty-four percent said they used their patrol car horns during the daytime, less than one-third (30%) used the horn at night. The relatively small percentages of departments using the public address system to signal pullover were probably at least partially a result of the fact that fewer departments had PA Systems (41% of all respondents) while virtually all had sirens, emergency warning lights, and horns.

¹This is not the entire list of categories; see app. B for complete listing. ²Percentages differ from those in appendix since text table is based on only those who cited improvements.

T_{ABLE} 2/3-1. Percentages¹ of departments using specified emergency warning devices to signal motorists to pull over during the daytime and at night

	Percent of departments using:			
Warning signal	During daytime [n=437]	At night [n=437]		
Flashing lights	90	99		
Siren	64	62		
Horn	44	30		
Public address system	11	10		

Percentages add to more than 100 since more than one device could be used at the same time.

TABLE 2/3-2. Percentages of departments in each department type using specified emergency warning device to signal motorists to pull over during the daytime

	Percent of departments using emergency warning device			
Department type	Flashing lights	Siren	Horn	PA system
Township	100	69	34	3
County	96	72	25	11
City (1-9)	91	58	34	5
City (10-49)	90	69	50	15
50 largest	87	61	46	24
State	87	49	57	13
City (50+)	84	65	59	7
All departments	90	64	44	*

Percentages add to more than 100.

The three largest city department types, city (10-49), city (50+), and 50 largest cities, were roughly similar in their use of these emergency warning signals both in the daytime and at night, except that slightly more of the 50 largest cities were using their PA system to signal. State and county use of these signals, however, was different from cities and from each other. A higher proportion of counties used sirens for daytime signalling, whereas a higher proportion of states used the car horn. States were the only department type in which more departments reported using the car horn than the siren for signalling motorists to pull over during the day.

^{*}Less than 1 percent.

- 4. Which of the following do your officers usually use for emergency runs during the daytime? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.)
- 5. Which of the following do your officers usually use for emergency runs at night? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.)

Siren Horn Public Address System Flashing Lights

Over 90 percent of the responding departments reported using both siren and flashing lights for emergency runs. Very few used the patrol car horn or the public address system. There was almost no difference in the departments' use of these devices during the day and at night. Sirens were much more commonly used for emergency runs than for signalling motorists to pull over. Approximately the same percentages of departments in all seven department types were using these emergency warning devices for emergency runs.

Table 4/5. Percentages of departments using specified emergency warning devices for daytime and nighttime emergency runs

Emergency warning device	Daytime percent of departments [n=437]	Nighttime percent of departments [n=437]
Flashing lights	98	99
Siren	94	92
Horn	6	5
Public address system	2	2

Percentages add to more than 100.

- 25. For which of the following activities do your officers routinely use their emergency warning lights during the daytime? (Mark X by Each Item That Applies.)
- 26. For which of the following activities do your officers routinely use their emergency warning lights at night? (Check Each Item That Applies.)

Routine Patrol
Parking Off the Road
Parking On the Road
Signalling Motorists to Pull Over
Emergency Calls
Pursuing Another Car
Other (specify)

This question corroborated the data from Questions 2, 3, 4, and 5. Almost all of the responding departments used their emergency warning lights routinely for emergency calls (92% during daytime and 94% at night). Similar percentages of departments used their flashing lights for pursuit of vehicles and signalling motorists to

TABLE 25/26. Percentages of departments which used emergency warning lights routinely for specified activity during the daytime and at night

Activity	Daytime percent of departments [n=437]	Nighttime percent of departments [n=437]
Emergency calls	92	94
Pursuing another car	91	92
Signalling motorists over	88	94
Parking on the road	67	76
Parking off the road	16	26
Routine patrol	3	3
Other	13	12

l Percentages add to more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed.

pull over. Parking on the road was the only other routine use for flashing lights involving as many as one-third of the respondents.

There were slight differences in the percentages of departments which reported using flashing lights for each activity in Questions 25 and 26 and those that reported using flashing lights in Questions 2, 3, 4, and 5. These small differences were partially a result of the addition of the word "routinely" in Questions 25 and 26, and partially a result of respondent error or inconsistency.

Very few departments used their emergency warning lights for routine patrol. None of the 50 largest cities or cities (50+) used their lights for this purpose (either during the day or at night). Eight percent of counties, 6 percent of states, and 4 percent of each of the smaller city department types did use their emergency warning lights for routine patrol.

"Other" activities for which emergency warning lights were routinely used were for funeral escorts, escorts in general, blocking traffic, directing traffic, at accident sites, and at hazards in general.

2.2.6. Purchasing and Testing Emergency Warning Equipment

37. Who in your department is responsible for choosing and ordering emergency warning equipment? (Please give title and/or position rather than name.)

Title/Position:

Title/Position:

A wide range of title/positions was elicited by this question. Coding categories were developed to organize these responses:

- ° Head of unit. Included the chief, assistant to chief, director, commissioner of public works/safety. Assistant was included in this category since assistants may share many of the daily responsibilities of operating a department.
- ° Users of the equipment. Included patrolman, trooper, patrol division/patrol officer.
- ° Maintenance staff. Included maintenance division, mechanic, garage mechanic, garage superintendent, garage foreman, communications technician, service department.
- ° Other. Included city official, town council, town board, staff services division, transportation division, communications division and officers (except technicians), administrative division and officers, planning and research, technical services, logistics, supply, business officer, and operations officer.

T_{ABLE} 37. Percentages of departments in each department type in which the person/group responsible for choosing/ordering emergency warning equipment held specified title/position

			e/position departments)			
Department type	Chief unit head	Equipment user	Maintenance staff	Other administrative		
County	93	6	3	15		
City (10-49)	93	2	1	18		
Township	90	3	7	21		
City (1-9)	84	1	0	31		
City (50+)	57	7	19	40		
50 largest	30	11	28	50		
State	13	4	11	100		
All departments	69	5	9	36		

Percentages add to more than 100 percent since multiple answers were allowed.

These codes are somewhat arbitrary, and were not offered as choices on the questionnaire.

The chief/unit head was responsible for choosing and ordering emergency warning equipment in 90 percent or more of the counties, cities (10-49), and townships, and in 84 percent of the cities (1-9). This was also the case in more than half (57%) of the cities (50+). In the two largest department types, however, much smaller percentages of the departments listed the chief/unit head as responsible for choosing and ordering emergency warning equipment.

Every state department listed at least one person or group that was categorized as "other." No pattern was identified from these "others"; almost all of the examples given in the preceding definition appeared among the state department responses.

In the 50 largest city departments, as in state departments, the emergency warning equipment purchasing decisions were most often made by administrative personnel other than the chief/unit head. However, more than one-fourth of the 50 largest cities listed members of the department's maintenance staff.

Only 5 percent of the responding departments said that users (of emergency warning equipment) were responsible for choosing and ordering that equipment.

38. What test methods do you use for new emergency warning equipment?

Buy a few pieces of equipment; have some officers use them and give opinions

Use standard tests before buying (What tests?)

Test after delivery but before installing on the patrol car (What tests?)

Test after installation on the patrol car (What tests?)

Emergency warning equipment is not tested except in use Other (specify)

The data reported for this question may be misleading. The reader should be careful in interpreting the results. Discussions with departments during follow-up showed that many departments considered such actions as a salesman's demonstration or turning on the equipment to see if it worked, to be testing. It appears that many departments which made the "turn on to see if it works" test marked several of the

choices. Table 38 in appendix B presents the data from this question. However, text table 38 presents only two of the categories. The departments' interpretations even of these two categories might be suspect.

Much higher percentages of the states and 50 largest cities said they bought a few pieces of equipment and had officers use them than did the other department types. This practice probably resulted from large departments making larger bulk purchases of equipment and, therefore, spending more time and money prior to purchasing to be sure of their investment. Only 4 percent of the states said they don't test except in use, but about one-third (34%) of the 50 largest cities marked this choice. More than half of the counties, cities (1-9), and cities (10-49) said they did no testing of emergency warning equipment except in use.

Table 38. Percentages of departments in each department type having specified testing policy for new emergency warning equipment

	Testing policy		
Department type	Buy some and get opinions	Don't test except in use	
State	68	4	
50 largest	57	24	
City (50+)	29	37	
City (10-49)	19	52	
County	15	61	
Township	14	48	
City (1-9)	4	55	
All departments	27	43	

2.2.7. Training Officers to Use Emergency Warning Equipment

36. Officers may be trained in various ways to use emergency warning equipment. Put a 1 by the method used most often in your department and a 2 by the method second most commonly used in your department.

Officers read training manuals (on their own, rather than in training classes)

Use of emergency warning equipment is one part of the regular training classes given by our own department

Experienced officers show new officers how to use equipment Officers attend school outside the department for this training Other (specify)

Although the question instructed the respondents to rank two of the training methods, many departments apparently overlooked these instructions and simply checked two choices. Therefore, the data were tabulated as though each choice were equal rather than as ranked data.

In five of the seven department types, the most common method of training officers to use emergency warning equipment was using experienced officers to train new officers. For states and the 50 largest cities, however, a higher percentage of departments said training classes in department was one of the methods used. Counties, townships, and the two smallest city department types had relatively higher percentages

Table 36. Percentages of departments in each department type using specified method of training officers to use emergency warning equipment

	Training method			
Department type	Train classes in department	Train classes outside department	Experienced officers train new officers	Officers read training manuals
State	89	0	77	4
50 largest	85	2	76	13
City (50+)	67	16	87	7
City (10-49)	42	38	82	25
Township	41	28	97	24
City (1-9)	25	43	74	30
County	24	41	83	23
All departments	50	27	81	19

Percentages add to more than 100 since multiple answers were allowed.

of departments saying training classes outside the department, while no states and almost none of the 50 largest cities marked this choice. This result is consistent with the activities described in section 1.5: Fewer of the departments in these department types carried out any training for their own departments.

Although it appears from these data that most departments conducted both training classes (either within the department or outside the department) and had experienced officers demonstrate equipment to newer officers; discussions with departments led to the conclusion that many officers received only very general instructions about emergency warning equipment in training classes, and that on-the-job training was by far the most common training method.

2.2.8. Availability of Traffic Control Signals for Helping Emergency Vehicles

35.a. Can official traffic control signals in your jurisdiction be operated so as to help the patrol car during an emergency?

yes no

b. If yes, how are the traffic signals controlled?

By a bright light from the patrol car? By a radio signal from the patrol car? Other (describe)

Ability to control traffic signals was not generally available in responding police departments. About 15 percent of all responding departments reported such a capability.

Of the 64 departments that said they could control traffic signals in their jurisdictions, only 12 departments (3% of all responding departments) said that this control could be exercised by using either a bright light from the patrol car or a radio signal from the patrol car. Almost all of the remaining 52 departments said that traffic signals could be manually controlled by adjusting a switch at the traffic light. A few departments said that traffic signals could be controlled from police headquarters.

T_{ABLE} 35A. Percentages of departments in each department type capable of controlling traffic signals during an emergency

Department type	Percent of departments [n=437]
City (50+)	24
City (1-9)	18
Township	14
City (10-49)	13
County	13
50 largest	9
State	4
All departments	15

T_{ABLE} 35B. Of the 64 departments able to control traffic signals, percentages controlling in specified manner

How control	Percent of departments capable of controlling traffic lights [n=64]
Bright light from patrol car	11
Radio signal from patrol car	8
Manual control/other	83

2.2.9. Suggestions for Improving Emergency Warning Equipment

39. If new emergency warning equipment were developed, how should it be different from what you now have?

A page was provided in the questionnaire so that departments could express opinions and ideas which might not have been covered in the questions. These narrative responses were not tabulated, but have been retained verbatim for use in research.

Many departments mentioned a need for lights with better visibility and for louder sirens, two subjects that were covered in the questionnaire. To give the reader a feeling for the kinds of comments contributed, some examples are presented below:

We recommend that a light be installed in all new vehicles that could be activated by any emergency vehicle. New cars today are so quiet that operators cannot hear emergency sirens even though sirens are very loud.

Emergency equipment within police vehicles should have switch controls readily accessible to operator of vehicles. Not all patrols have two men.

That an audible signal device, distinct to police only should be developed. I also believe that a colored light distinct only to police should be adopted nationwide.

The current warning equipment in use in this department is generally satisfactory, but if a directional siren can be developed, it would be a great improvement...

Should be based on proven scientific research rather than opinion. Human perception key factor. Future vehicle design should be considered. Should consider varying needs of user—example: State vs. City.

...Also, more usage of high intensity lights and less noise pollution by audible alarm devices. Audible alarm devices should be phased out of emergency vehicle usage in congested areas at the earliest possible time.

Sirens should be designed to be put on roof without drilling holes or using magnets. In using crossbars, it should be conventional to be used on cars that have rain gutters as well as cars without rain gutters.

New equipment should be designed with lighter weight. Due to the constant vibration they damage the roof of the car.

All equipment on a single control panel.

A high beam would be added to light the sky as well as straight on. This would allow motorists to see the flashing lights in spite of the fact that the car is over a hill.

Most of the equipment on the market today is good. Rather than seeing much time wasted setting standards, I would prefer to see more things developed.

We feel it should be installed by manufacturers; therefore, being a part of the vehicle, it could be more versatile.

Utility bar emergency lights of aerodynamic design to reduce wind resistance and of a quality to be maintenance free for a period of 30-36 months.

A master switch which could turn on and turn off all emergency equipment with *one* switch (master switch would override all other switches to separate controls).

Some type of warning light, possibly in dashboard or on unit head, similar to the "bright light" indicator for headlights. This would alleviate the possibility of leaving warning (emergency) lights on inadvertently.

Standard nationwide special built police vehicle with all emergency equipment customized and built in. Vehicle would not be sold to general public and would incorporate all modern safety developments.

Wind resistance is always a problem.

Make easier to change from one vehicle to another.

The siren as an emergency item is useless in today's traffic...



APPENDIX A

NBS-887 May 1972 OMB 41-F72030 Approval Expires June 30, 1973

U.S. Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards

DETAILED QUESTIONNAIRE: SIRENS AND

EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHTS

POLICE EQUIPMENT SURVEY

Sponsored By:

National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice Law Enforcement Assistance Administration U. S. Department of Justice

Directed and Conducted By:

Behavioral Sciences Group National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234 Phone: 301-921-3558

NOTE: This questionnaire is included in this document as a supplement to the discussion in the text. It has no other intended use.

INTRODUCTION: Many different sirens and emergency warning lights are sold for use by police departments. We have been told by some departments that it is hard for them to decide which sirens and emergency warning lights are best for their use. The Law Enforcement Standards Laboratory will develop voluntary performance standards for this equipment. We need your answers from this questionnaire to help in writing these standards.

PURPOSE OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE: The purpose of this questionnaire is to find out how well the sirens and emergency warning lights you use now perform and how you need them to perform in order to do your job. ALL OF THE QUESTIONS IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE REFER TO THE SIRENS AND EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHTS USED ON A STANDARD PATROL CAR (USUALLY A MARKED FOUR-DOOR OR TWO-DOOR SEDAN), NOT TO ANY USED ON UNMARKED VEHICLES.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. Fill in the questionnaire completely. Even if you do not have all the information you need "at your fingertips", please make your best effort to supply every answer AS ACCURATELY AS POSSIBLE.
- 2. Answer all questions for YOUR OWN DEPARTMENT. Do not attempt to supply information that might exist in some other department.
- 3. The results of this questionnaire will be at least partially compiled by computer. It is important that you follow directions and answer every question legibly and in the boxes and spaces provided.
- 4. No individual department will be identified in the report of this survey; the results will be published in tabulated form.
- 5. Additional instructions for filling in your answers appear after some questions. Follow the directions given.
- 6. Please PRINT all answers and comments CLEARLY.
- 7. When this questionnaire has been completely filled in; place it, with the other questionnaires sent to your department, in the stamped, addressed envelope supplied. Return all of them to:

Technology Building, Al10 National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

8. If you have any questions, write to the above address, or call collect:

E. Bunten, or P. Klaus Phone (301) 921-3558

Α.	USE OF SIRENS AND LIGHTS
1.	Which of the following sound sources do your patrol cars usually have in addition to, or instead of, what is found on an ordinary passenger car? (MARK \underline{X} BY \underline{EACH} ITEM THAT YOUR CARS HAVE)
*(10 -1 4)	Special loud horn
	Electronic siren and speaker
	Public address system
	Mechanical or electro-mechanical siren
	Other source of sound (describe briefly)
2.	Which of the following do your officers usually use when signalling a motorist to pull over during the daytime? (MARK \underline{X} BY \underline{EACH} ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(15-18)	Siren
	Horn
	Public address system
	Flashing lights
3.	Which of the following do your officers usually use when signalling a motorist to pull over <u>at night?</u> (MARK X BY <u>EACH</u> ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(19-22)	Siren
	Horn
	Public address system
	Flashing lights
4.	Which of the following do your officers usually use for emergency runs during the daytime? (MARK \underline{X} BY \underline{EACH} ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(23-26)	Siren
	Horn
	Public address system
	Flashing lights
***	Numbers in parentheses are for computer use only.

5.	runs at night? (MARK X BY EACH ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(27-30)	Siren
	Horn
	Public address system
	Flashing lights
в.	ELECTRONIC SIRENS
INS	TRUCTION: Answer questions #6-14 for the ELECTRONIC siren MOST COMMONLY USED in your department. If you are not certain whether your most commonly used siren is electronic or electro-mechanical, put an X in the box below and fill in the questions for electronic sirens on pages 4 to 7. Electro-mechanical sirens are asked about beginning on page 7.
(31)	I am uncertain what type my most commonly used siren is.
6.	The most commonly used electronic siren in your department is:
(32-33)	a. Model or Trade Name
(34-35)	b. Manufacturer
(36-40)	c. Number of Patrolcars Having It
7.	Where is this type electronic siren usually located?
(41-47)	On a utility bar above the roof
	Right on the roof
	On the right front fender
	On the left front fender
	Under the hood, right behind the grille & free from obstructions
	Under the hood, in the engine compartment
	Other (Specify)

8.	What problems have you encountered with this type electronic siren? (MARK \underline{X} BY EACH ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(48-57)	They are too loud for some uses
	They sometimes freeze up in winter
	Sometimes motorists do not seem to hear them
	The officers cannot hear the radio
	There is a delay from the time the siren is turned on until it will actually make the sound
	Wiring problems
	Relay or switch problems
	We have had no problems because the equipment is new
	We have had no problems even though equipment has been in use for sometime
	Other (Specify)
9.	Please rate the performance of this type electronic siren in terms of how often it must be repaired:
(58-65)	Needs repair more often than every six months
	Needs repair every 6 to 12 months
	Needs repair about once a year
	Needs repair about once every 2 or 3 years
	Needs repair less often than every 3 years
	Never needed repair: have had for months (no.)

10.	what part or component is the most common cause of breakdowns in this type electronic siren?
(66 - 69)	Speaker fails
	Electronics fail
	Control Switch
	Other (Specify)
	Other (Specify)
11.	About how long do you use most of your sirens of this type before the electronic package or speaker must be replaced?
	The Electronics:
(70-77)	Less than one year
	1 - 3 years
	4 - 6 years
	7 - 10 years
	More than 10 years
	Never needed to replace: have had for months (no.)
	The Speaker:
(10-17)	Less than one year
	1 - 3 years
	4 - 6 years
	7 - 10 years
	More than 10 years
	Never needed to replace: have had for months (no.)

12.	What improvements could be made in this type electronic siren?
(18-19)	
13.	Can you think of any other electronic siren currently on the market that might meet your needs better? (Please give model or trade name and manufacturer if known)
(20-21)	Model:
(22-23)	Manufacturer:
14.	What is there about this other type electronic siren that would make it better for your particular needs?
(24-25)	
c.	ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIRENS
	INSTRUCTION: Answer questions #15-23 for the ELECTRO-MECHANICAL siren MOST COMMONLY USED in your department.
	If your department does not use electro-mechanical sirens, skip to question #24, page ll.
15.	The most commonly used <u>electro-mechanical</u> siren in our department is:
(26-27)	a. Model or Trade Name
(28-29)	b. Manufacturer
(30-34)	c. Number of Patrolcars Having It

16.	Where is this type electro-mechanical siren usually located?
(35-41)	On a utility bar above the roof
	Right on the roof
	On the right front fender
	On the left front fender
	Under the hood, right behind the grille & free from obstruction
	Under the hood, in the engine department
	Other (Specify)
17.	What problems have you encountered with this type electro-mechanical siren? (MARK \underline{X} BY EACH ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(42-51)	They are too loud for some uses
	They sometimes freeze up in winter
	Sometimes motorists do not seem to hear them
	The officers cannot hear the radio
	There is a delay from the time the siren is turned on until it will actually make the sound
	Wiring problems
	Relay or switch problems
	We have had no problems because equipment is new
	We have had no problems even though this equipment has been in use for some time.
	Other (Specify)

18.	Please rate the performance of this type electro-mechanical siren in terms of how often it must be repaired:
(52-59)	Needs repair more often than every six months
	Needs repair every 6 to 12 months
	Needs repair about once a year
	Needs repair about once every 2 or 3 years
	Needs repair less often than every 3 years
	Never needed repair: have had for months months
19.	What part or component is the most common cause of breakdowns in this type electro-mechanical siren?
(60-64)	Brushes
	Bearings
	Windings
	Control switch
	Other (Specify)
	Other (Specify)
20.	About how long do you use most electro-mechanical sirens of this type before they are replaced or rebuilt?
(65-72)	Less than one year
	1 - 3 years
	4 - 6 years
	7 - 10 years
	More than 10 years
	Never needed to replace: have had for months (no.)

21.	What improvements could be made in this type electro-mechanical siren?
(73-74)	
22.	Can you think of any other <u>electro-mechanical siren</u> now on the market that might meet your needs better? (Please give model or trade name and manufacturer if known)
(75-76)	Model:
(77-78)	Manufacturer:
23.	What is there about this other type electro-mechanical siren that would make it better for your particular needs?
(79 – 80)	

D.	EMERGENCY	WARNING	LIGHTS
<i>D</i> •	DISTRICT TO T	MUSTUATION	11201110

24.	What lights or reflectors do your patrol cars usually have in addition to, or instead of, those found on an ordinary passenger car? (MARK X BY EACH ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(10-20)	Special reflectors or areas of reflectorizing material
	Special turn signal lights (sometimes may also be used as "four-way" flashers)
	Special clearance or marker light (like those on trucks)
	Hand controlled spotlights (not colored)
	Fog lights or auxiliary driving lights
	Alley or ambush lights (spotlights or floodlights mounted so they aim to the side; not colored)
	Automatic flasher that can flash the headlights alternately
	Colored flashing or steady burning lights in grille (other than standard parking lamps or turn signals)
	Revolving or flashing lights on roof or roof-bar ("Gumball" "bubble" or "strobe" lights)
	Any other warning lights showing to the front? (Describe briefly)
	Any other warning lights showing to the rear? (Describe briefly)

25.	For which of the following activities do your officers ROUTINELY use their emergency warning lights during the daytime. (MARK \underline{X} BY \underline{EACH} ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(21-27)	Routine patrol
	Parking off the road
	Parking on the road
	Signalling motorist to pull over
	Emergency calls
	Pursuing another car
	Other (specify)
26.	For which of the following activities do your officers ROUTINELY use their emergency warning lights at night. (CHECK EACH ITEM THAT APPLIES)
(28-34)	Routine patrol
	Parking off the road
	Parking on the road
	Signalling motorist to pull over
	Emergency calls
	Pursuing another car
	Other (specify)

INSTRUCTIONS: Please give the following information about the MOST COMMON type of emergency warning lights (beacons or flashers) used in your department.

MOS	T USI	ED BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT
(35-36) 27.	. a.	Model No. or Trade Name
(37-38)	b.	Manufacturer
(39)	c.	Number of lights per unit
(40)	đ.	Number of units per vehicle
(41-48)	e.	Color(s) of warning signal:
		Red & Blue
		Red & Clear
		Blue & Clear
		Clear
		Red
		Blue
		Yellow (amber)
		Other (Specify)
(49-50)	f.	Color of dome
	g.	Mounted:
(51-52)		Directly on Vehicle
		On Utility Bar
(53-57)	h.	Number of patrolcars having this model of emergency warning light:

MOS.	r USED BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT
28.	About how long does this model of beacon or flashing light work before it needs repair or service? (Other than lamp replacement)
(58-65)	Less than 1 year
	1 - 3 years
	4 - 6 years
	7 - 10 years
	More than 10 years
	Never needed to repair: have had for months (no.)
29.	What are the most common causes of breakdown or malfunction in this model beacon or flasher?
(66-69)	Bulb failure
	Mechanical failure
	Failure caused by weather
	Other (Specify)
30.	About how long can this model of emergency warning lights be used before it must be REPLACED?
(70-78)	Less than 1 year
	1 - 3 years
	4 - 6 years
	7 - 10 years
	11 - 15 years
	More than 15 years

Never needed to replace: have had for ____ months (no.)

	MOST	USED BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT
	31.	What improvements can you suggest for this model of emergency warning lights?
(79-80)		
	32.	Can you think of any other emergency warning light currently on the market that might meet your needs better? (Please give model, manufacturer, type, color, if known).
(10-11)		Model:
(12-13)		Manufacturer:
(14-15)		Type:
(16-17)		Color:
	33.	What is there about this other light that would make it meet you needs better?
(18-19)		
	E. 9	GENERAL INFORMATION
	34.	How many standard patrol cars does your department have?
(20-24)		(NUMBER)

35.	a. Can official traffic control signals in your jurisdiction be operated so as to help the patrol car during an emergency?
(25)	Yes No
	b. IF YES, how are the traffic signals controlled?
(26-28)	By a bright light from the patrol car?
	By a radio signal from the patrol car?
	Other (Describe)
36.	Officers may be trained in various ways to use emergency warning equipment.
	Put a 1 by the method used MOST OFTEN in your department and a 2 by the method SECOND most commonly used in your department.
(29-33)	Officers read training manuals (on their own, rather than in training classes)
	Use of emergency warning equipment is one part of the regular training classes given by our own department
	Experienced officers show new officers how to use equipment
	Officers attend school outside the department for this training
	Other (Specify)
37.	Who in your department is responsible for choosing and ordering emergency warning equipment? (Please give title and/or position rather than name).
(34-37)	Title/Position
(38-41)	Title/Position

	38.	What test methods do you use for new emergency warning equipment?
(42)		Buy a few pieces of equipment; have some officers use them and give opinions
(43-45)	Use standard tests before buying (what tests?)
(46-48)	Tests after delivery but before installing on the patrol car (what tests?)
(49-51))	Test after installation on the patrolcar (what tests?)
(52-54))	Emergency warning equipment is not tested except in use
(55 ~ 57))	Other (specify)

	from what					
				 	 ·	
				 	 	
				 	 	
****				 	 	-
-				 	 	

					 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					 	_
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					_
				 		_
		 			 	
				 	 	_

confidential) Name of Department: Name of persons who answered this questionnaire: Name Title: _____ Rank: ____ No. of years experience in law enforcement: Telephone Number: Others who helped: 1. Name Title: Rank: No. of years experience in law enforcement: Telephone Number: Name Title: ____ Rank: No. of years experience in law enforcement: Telephone Number:

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION: (All identifying information will be kept



APPENDIX B Data Tables

B.1. Advice to the Reader

- (a) The data presented in the following tables resulted from the responses of a stratified random sample (see sec. 1.2) of police departments in response to a specific set of questions (see app. A). These data do not, in any way, reflect objective testing of any of the equipment by the National Bureau of Standards. The reader is cautioned to become familiar with the questionnaire and to evaluate the data in terms of the exact questions asked.
- (b) Tables have been numbered after the question number (e.g., the tables for Question 6A would be numbered 6A-1, 6A-2, etc.). The data are usually presented by number of respondents and nearest whole percentage. Because of the statistical limitations imposed by the sample sizes used in this study, the reader is cautioned to be wary of assigning importance to percentage differences of less than 5 percent when percentages are based on all respondents, and to percentage differences of less than 10 percent when percentages are based on one of the subsample groups (e.g., a particular department type or region). No statistical tests of significance are reported.
- (c) These tables are based on the responding departments from the specific sample selected for this questionnaire. This sample was not proportional to the total population of police departments, and although it is possible to do so, the data in these tables have not been weighted to allow direct extrapolation to the total population.
- (d) In order to extrapolate to the total population from the respondent data presented in this report, use the following procedure: For each department type, multiply the percentage of respondents of a particular department type giving the answer of interest (see B.2 Data Tables, app. B) by the total number of departments of that department type in the population (see table 1.2-2, sec. 1.2); add those seven subtotals; and divide the total by the total number of police departments in the population (table 1.2-2). The quotient of this division will be an estimate of the percentage of all U.S. police departments that would choose the answer of interest.

B.2. Data Tables

RANK OF PERSON WHO FILLED IN QUESTIONNAIRE	4 QUESTIONNAI	₹ 4 5						
RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT	IT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • ON	% °0N	% • OZ	% °OZ	% •0N	* OZ	% • ON	% ° 0N
CHIEF CAPTAIN COMMISSIONER COLONEL ACTING CHIEF ASSISTANT CHIEF MAJORAL PRIVATE DEPUTY INSPECTOR SHERIFF SARGEANT PATROLWAN OTHER TITLE UNDERSHERIFF SPECIALIST NO ANSWER	141 541 54 10 34 11 12 12 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 31 41 10 34 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	188 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 3	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	60 41 41 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	50 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 6 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	11 2 11 2 4 11 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 2 2 4 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 38 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL	437 98	66 24	71 100	77 100	84 101	83 98	46 100	29 98
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	7.1	77	94	83	9#	29
Table i-2 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE OF PERSC	OF PERSON WHO FILLED	IN QUESTIONNAIRE	R :					
RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT	IT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • ON	NO.	NO. 8	% • ON	% • ON	NO.	NO.	NO.
2 OR LESS 3-5 YEARS 6-10 YEARS 11-15 YEARS 16-20 YEARS 21-25 YEARS 26-30 YEARS 31 OR MORE NO ANSWER	18 4 31 7 68 16 70 16 84 19 76 17 36 8 30 7	132 26 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 7 11 24 11 20 11 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	5 6 34 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	5 6 7 11 13 11 13 14 8 10 8 10 8 10 8 10 8 10 8 10	0 0 0 19 23 6 19 16 19 14 6 7 8 8 7 7	0 3 7 0 0 7 15 11 24 14 30 5 11 6 4	21 12 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
TOTAL	437 99	47 102	71 99	77 100	84 100	83 98	46 100	29 100
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	17	7.7	the So	83	9#	29

1. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING SOUND SOURCES DO YOUR PATROLCARS HAVE IN ADDITION TO. OR INSTEAD OF. WHAT IS FOUND ON AN ORDINARY PASSENGER CAR?

S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S				DEPARTMENT TYPE	IT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • OX	NO.	% •0N	NO.	% • ON	% • ON	NO.	NO.
SPECIAL LOUD HORN ELECTRONIC SIREN & SPEAKER PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM MECHANICL/ELCTRO-MECH SIREN OTHER SOURCE OF SOUND NO ANSWER	17 4 360 82 256 59 180 41 8 2	2 4 38 81 22 47 27 57 0 0	3 4 29 41 36 51 3 4	56 73 73 28 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	5 69 83 28 28 33 60 0	3 4 76 92 60 72 35 42 0 0	0 0 44 96 61 19 41 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61	24 83 19 66 7 24 0 0
TOTAL	803 184	86 182	119 167	133 172	161 191	171 207	84 183	49 170
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	4.7	11	7-	₹ 60	60 E2	9	5
Table 2								
2. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOUR OFFICERS MOTORIST TO PULL OVER DURING THE DAYTIME?	YOUR OFFICERS THE DAYTIME?	USUALLY USE	USUALLY USE WHEN SIGNALLING	4G A				
RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT	IT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	× 0 N	% °0%	% • ON	% ° 0 N	% • ON	% • ON	% °0N	% • ON
SIREN HORN PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM FLASHING LIGHTS NO ANSWER	279 64 193 44 49 11 394 90 2 0	23 49 27 57 6 13 0 0	51 72 18 25 8 11 68 96 1 1	45 26 34 70 91 0	58 69 42 50 13 15 76 90	54 65 49 59 6 7 70 84	28 61 21 46 11 24 40 87	20 69 10 34 1 3 29 100
TOTAL	917 209	97 206	146 205	145 188	189 224	179 215	101 220	60 206
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	7.1	77	40	83	9#	59

Table 3

^{3.} WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOUR OFFICERS USUALLY USE WHEN SIGNALLING A MOTORIST TO PULL OVER DURING THE NIGHT?

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT TYPE	NT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% * ON	NO.	0 2	NO.	% • ON	% • ON	NO.	% * ON
SIREN				42 55	57 68			17 59
PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM	42 10	11 2	900	7 to 1	11 13	50.0	6. 1	2 2 2
NO ANSWER				0 0 0	00 0			0 0 0
TOTAL	876 201	92 196	131 184	137 178	182 217	181 218	98 214	55 190
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	7.1	77	94	83	9#	59

Table 4-

4. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOUR OFFICERS USUALLY USE FOR EMERGENCY RUNS DURING THE DAYTIME?

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT	T TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • ON	% °0Z	% • 0N	% • ON	% • ON	% • ON	% • ON	% *
SIREN HORN PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM FLASHING LIGHTS NO ANSWER	412 94 27 6 9 2 429 98 1 0 878 200	42 89 2 4 0 0 46 98 0 0 90 191	62 87 3 4 70 99 1 1 139 195	70 91 5 6 1 1 77 100 0 0	82 98 7 8 2 2 82 98 0 0	83 100 7 8 1 1 80 96 0 0	46 100 2 4 1 2 45 98 0 0	27 93 1 3 1 3 29 100 0 0
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	11	77	94	83	94	59

5. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOUR OFFICERS USUALLY USE FOR EMERGENCY RUNS AT NIGHT? Table 5

	TOWNSHIP	% %	27 93		57 196	59
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% * *	45 98	46 100 0 0	95 207	9 7
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	NO.	82 25 25 26		171 206	83
T TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	80 95 7 8 2 2	84 100 0 0	173 205	94
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	NO.	66 66 66 66 66 66		147 191	7.
	COUNTY	% • ON	60 22 33 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	0 0	135 191	71
	STATE	% • 0N	40 85 1 2 4		89 189	47
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	* • OZ	400 92 24 5 434 99	1 0	867 198	437
RESPONSE			SIKEN PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM FLASHING LIGHTS	NO ANSWER	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

6. THE MOST COMMONLY USED ELECTRONIC SIREN IN YOUR DEPARTMENT IS: (MANUFACTURER, MODEL OR TRADE NAME, NO. OF PATROLCARS HAVING IT)

	PATROLCARS HAVING IT	24	84 0 4 0 8 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6	66
	PATRC HAVI	NO.	15,978 177 177 377 527 209 261 118 115 202 66	118,911
	DEPARIMENTS HAVING IT	84	3 N O O N N M M T T T N O N N O M C	101
	DEPARTME HAVING	NO.	230 17 13 13 13 10 6 8 8	360
			GIVEN)	
	ODE		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 10 10 10 1AVK(NO MANUFACTURER GIVEN)	
SE	MANUFACTURER CODE		1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 7 10 10 10 MANUE	
RESPONSE	MANUFA		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 10 9 MISCELLANEOUS BLANK(NO MANU	TOTAL

7. WHERE IS THIS TYPE ELECTRONIC SIREN USUALLY LOCATED?

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT	NT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • ON	% • ON	% • ON	% °0%	% • ON	% • ON	% • ON	% °0N
ON A UTILITY BAR ABOVE ROOF RIGHT ON THE ROOF ON THE RIGHT FRONT FENDER UNDER HOOD, BEHIND GRILLE UNDER HOOD, IN ENGINE COMP, OTHER	208 58 48 13 1 0 126 35 28 8 1 0	14 37 6 16 0 0 17 45 7 18 0 0	20 38 6 11 0 0 31 58 6 11 0 0	29 52 7 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	49 71 3 4 0 0 19 28 3 4 0 0	56 74 12 16 1 1 18 24 4 5 1 1	27 61 13 30 0 0 11 25 2 5 0 0	13 54 1 6 0 0 0 3 1 4 1 7 0 0
TOTAL*	415 115	44 116	63 118	62 111	74 107	92 121	53 121	27 112
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	360	38	53	56	69	76	t	54

^{*} Total equals more than 360 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

8. WHAT PROBLEMS HAVE YOU ENCOUNTERED WITH THIS TYPE ELECTRONIC SIREN? RESPONSE

DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY CITY CITY (1-9 (50 OR MORE OFFICERS) OFFICERS)	2 4 6 7 4 5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	128 84 121 97 1	56 69 76
	STATE COUNTY OFF	% NO.	127 70 131	5.3
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	NO. % NO. 12 3 0 25 7 6 82 23 9 18 5 0 24 7 2 76 21 8 152 42 16 3 3 11 6	462 129 48	360 38
RESPONSE		TOO LOUD FREEZE UP IN WINTER MOTORISTS DONT HEAR THEM OFFICERS CANT HEAR RADIO DELAY TIME UNTIL IT SOUNDS WIRING PROBLEMS RELAY OR SWITCH PROBLEMS NO PROBLEMS/NEW EQUIPMENT NO PROBLEMS/USED EQUIPMENT OTHER	TOTAL *	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

^{*}Total equals more than 360 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

9. PLEASE RATE THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS TYPE ELECTONIC SIREN IN TERMS OF HOW OFTEN IT MUST BE REPAIRED:

	TOWNSHIP	. ON	1 4 1 1 2 8 5 21 6 25 9 37 1 4	54
	FIFTY T LARGEST CITIES	% · 07	4 9 8 18 110 53 17 100 44 100	111
		0N	28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 2	
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	° 0 2	177 233 23 24 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	76
	Υ 49 ERS)	≥ ₹	3 113 119 114 42 0 0	
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	• 0N	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	69
	Y 9 ERS)	%	110 110 111 111 102	
	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	0 9 9 6 6 31 1	56
	<u>L</u>	ж	1 2 4 8 15 9 17 2 2 3 3 8 1 2 3 101	
	COUNTY	• 0N	1 1 1 1 1 2 0 3 1 1 3	53
	ш	%	0 13 21 34 29 0	
	STATE	• 0 N	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	38
	ENT	%	12 3 64 18 77 21 59 16 122 34 364 100	
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	• 0N	12 27 27 64 77 77 59 122 3	360
RESPONSE			MORE THAN EVERY 6 MONTHS EVERY 6 TO 12 MONTHS ONCE A YEAR ONCE EVERY 2 OR 3 YEARS LESS THAN EVERY 3 YEARS NEVER NEEDED REPAIR NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
2			ĒŪŌŌJŽŽ Ĕ	Ź

^{*}Total equals more than 360 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

9.4. OF THOSE DEPARTMENTS WHICH HAVE NEVER NEEDED TO REPAIR THEIR ELECTRONIC SIRENS, HOW LONG HAS THE DEPARTMENT HAD THESIRENS: Table 9 A.

	4 I I	ж	33	33	0 0	0	11	0	66	
	TOWNSHIP	0N	E 1	1 3	00	00	-	9	σ	6
	EST	≥ R	4 0	00	0 8	0 0	0	50	100	
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	° 02	2 4	00	0 •	0 1	0	-	Ŋ	Ŋ
	MORE (RS)	248	41 18	24	90	00	0	0	101	
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	° 0	~ ₩	I) t	0	00	0	0	17	17
	8 RS)	≥ ₹	41	10	~ (o m	0	_	66	
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	• 0 2	12	r 0	N C	o ⊷	0	∾	29	29
ARTME	RS)	ж	26 32	29 6	0 1	m o	ю	0	66	
DEP	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	• ON	9 T	6 N	0	- o	-	0	31	31
	>	ж	30	10 0	ហ	၀ ၀	0	0	100	
	COUNTY	• 0 2	10	N 0	٦.	0 1	0	0	20	20
	ш	≥ ₹	73	60	σ,	00	0	6	11 100	
	STATE	• 0N	00	10		00	0	-	11	1
	S	Ж	38 26	18 6	± (∾	N	n	100	
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	• 0N	32	22	ו עו	n 1	2	at .	122 100	122
RESPONSE			Σı	25 = 36 MONTHS 37 = 48 MONTHS	1	61 - 72 MONIHS 73 - 84 MONIHS	85 - 96 MONTHS	NO ANSWER	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

10. WHAT PART OR COMPONENT IS THE MOST COMMON CAUSE OF BREAKDOWNS IN THIS TYPE ELECTRONIC SIREN?

	TOWNSHIP	% . ov	2 8 1 4 1 7 29 3 12 3 12 9 37 25 102
	10	ž	
	TY EST IES	Ж.	134 134
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	• 0 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	MORE RS)	≥ €	5 32 26 12 21 17 113
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	• 0 2	204 20 30 10 11 13 76
	9 RS)	%	14 22 17 19 10 23
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	• 0 N	110 112 123 16 7 2 8 6
ARTME	RS)	Ж	9 16 16 9 41 105
DEP	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	• 0 0	5 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
	>	%	22 28 29 38 38
	COUNTY	• 0 V	113 115 115 5 5 7 5 5 7
	ìп	%	5 26 26 13 8 24 24
	STATE	° 0 N	115 110 110 33 44 44 38
	S	%	27 24 15 14 26 113
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	• 0N	24 7 96 27 96 27 86 24 53 15 93 26 403 113
RESPONSE			NO FAILURES SPEAKER FAILS ELECTRONICS FAIL CONTROL SWITCH OTHER NO ANSWER TOTAL*

^{*}Total equals more than 360 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

Table 11 A-1

11.4. ABOUT HOW LONG DO YOU USE MOST OF YOUR SIRENS OF THIS TYPE BEFORE THE ELECTRONIC PACKAGE OR SPEAKER MUST BE REPLACED?

THE ELECTRONICS

	d I	æ	115 128 128 128 98	
	TOWNSHIP	* 0 2	11 11 24	24
	Y ST ES	%	11 255 23 23 32 32 101	
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	• 0 2	111 101 10 10 14 44	t
	MORE RS)	%	1 11 18 18 44 47 100	
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	• 0 V	1 14 14 3 3 4 7 7 7	76
	9 RS)	%	12 19 9 4 4 52 4	
NT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	0N	11 0 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	69
DEPARTMENT	RS)	ж	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
DEP	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	• 0 V	0 3 1 1 3 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	26
	>	96	23 17 17 0 8 51 2 2	
	COUNTY	• 0 N	120 99 00 127 127 53	53
	ш	%	0 116 21 77 77 99	
	STATE	• ON	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38
	ENT	ж	1 12 17 12 5 49 5	
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	0 N	3 1 42 12 61 17 44 12 17 17 17 49 17 5	360
RESPONSE			LESS THAN 1 YEAR 1 - 3 YEARS 4 - 6 YEARS 7 - 10 YEARS MORE THAN 10 YEARS NEVER NEEDED TO REPLACE NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
œ			- ZZZJEHL	Z

*Total equals 361 since one respondent selected more than one choice.

11.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHICH HAVE NEVER NEEDED TO REPLACE THE ELECTRONIC PACKAGE, HOW LONG HAS THE DEPARTMENT HAD THOSE SIRENS?

	TOWNSHIP	0 %	0 0 88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% •0N	4 9 14 32 10 23 8 18 1 2 7 16 0 0 44 100
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% • ON	1 15 16 14 10 13 3 3 4 76 99
AT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% •0N	111 16 112 17 5 7 2 3 2 3 3 4 49 5 69 99
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	1 2 5 4 7 4 61 9 16 57 10 3 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56
	COUNTY	NO.	115 28 15 28 8 15 0 0 3 6 22 42 4 8 4 8 53 101
	STATE	NO.	1 3 5 13 7 18 4 11 5 13 13 34 3 100 38 100
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • ON	8 2 67 19 57 16 31 9 18 5 153 42 27 7 361 100 360
RESPONSE			LESS THAN 1 YEAR 67 19 1 - 3 YEARS 67 19 67 19 67 19 67 19 67 19 68 67 19 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68

11.8. ABOUT HOW LONG DO YOU USE MOST OF YOUR SIRENS OF THIS TYPE BEFORE THE ELECTRONIC PACKAGE OR SPEAKER MUST BE REPLACED? B Table 11 B-1

THE SPEAKER

		Ч	Ж	458 459 00 00 00 00 00 00	
		TOWNSHIP	• ON	200000 II	11
		ry EST IES	Ж	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
		FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	0	11 11001111	14
		MORE (RS)	×	119 122 122 14 16 16 101	
		CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	° 0	26 P112338	36
		RS)	*	36 111 111 111 100 00 00 00 00 00	
	DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	• 0 N	13 13 13 26 36	36
	ARTME	RS)	3 8	20 26 29 111 11 9 0 0 0 0	
	DEP	CITY (1=9 OFFICERS)	• 0 0	7 10 10 10 33 11 11 11 13 35	35
		>	Ж	11 11 11 11 11 11 10 10 10	
İ		COUNTY	• 0 2	2 30 H 0 H 3 B 3 B 5 B	27
!		W	*	22 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	
		STATE	0N	B t0000+19	18
		ENT	*	25 25 25 27 27 27 49	
		ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	•0N	139 36 36 118 113 113 117	177
	RESPONSE			12 MONTHS OR LESS 13 - 24 MONTHS 25 - 36 MONTHS 37 - 48 MONTHS 49 - 60 MONTHS 61 - 72 MONTHS 73 - 86 MONTHS 85 - 96 MONTHS MORE THAN 96 MONTHS NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

11.8. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHICH HAVE NEVER NEEDED TO REPLACE THE SPEAKER, HOW LONG HAS THE DEPARTMENT HAD THOSE SIRENS?

	TOWNSHIP	% • ON	3 23 1 8 1 8 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 8 1 8 2 15 2 15	13
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% • 0N	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	7
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% • OZ	7 23 24 113 110 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30
INT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	13 38 6 18 3 9 3 9 1 1 3 1 3 4 101	34
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	6 18 10 29 9 26 4 12 2 6 1 3 1 3 0 0 0 0	34
	COUNTY	% • ON	5 23 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36	22
	STATE	NO.	46 0 0 1 23 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 23	13
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% O Z	42 27 33 22 31 20 113 8 12 2 4 4 6 4 1 1 1 1 153 100	153
RESPONSE			12 MONTHS OR LESS 13 - 24 MONTHS 25 - 36 MONTHS 37 - 48 MONTHS 49 - 60 MONTHS 61 - 72 MONTHS 73 - 84 MONTHS 85 - 96 MONTHS NO R SWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

B-10

12. WHAT IMPROVEMENTS COULD BE MADE IN THIS TYPE FLECTRONIC STRENS

12. WHA! IMPROVEMENIS COULD BE MADE IN THIS TYPE ELECTRONIC SIREN?			
RESPONSE	TIMES MENTIONED	SED	
	° 0N	*	
BETTER PROTECTION FOR SPEAKERS AGAINST WEATHER OTHER SPEAKE TABROUFMNTS/VOICE COIL. DIBABLITTY, GREATED DOWED CAD.CITY	15	± v	
SWITCHES/CONTROLSUNSATISFACTORY, COMPLICATED, GREATER FLEXIBILITY	16	D 3*	
NEED ADJUSTABLE VOLUME CONTROL (MORE FLEXIBLE, GREATER OUTPUT RANGE)	14	5 '	
MEED MORE FOWER/VOLUME (NOT LOUD ENOUGH) MOUNTING (SPEAKER AND/OR CONTROL) FOR AUDIBILITY, CONVENIENCE	22	ه در	
WIRING PROBLEMS/IMPROVEMENTS	'n	۱ –	
QUALITY CONTROL/MORE DURABLE/BETTER QUALITY	11	מו	
ELIMINATE NOISE/ELECTRICAL INTERFERENCE	ľ	-	
OTHER	18	S	
REDUCE SIZE/MAKE MORE COMPACT	'n	7	
HIGHER WATTAGE FUSES/OVERLOAD PROTECTION	N	-	
NO ANSWER	241	67	
101AL *	379	105	
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	360		
)		

*Total equals more than 360 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

13. CAN YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER ELECTRONIC SIREN CURRENTLY ON THE MARKET THAT MIGHT MEET YOUR NEEDS BETTER?

TIMES MENTIONED	NO.	15 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 93	360 100
RESPONSE	MANUFACTURER CODE	1 2 3 MISCELLANEOUS NO FESPONSE	TOTAL

14. WHAT IS THERE ABOUT THIS OTHER TYPE ELECTRONIC SIREN THAT WOULD MAKE IT BETTER FOR YOUR PARTICULAR NEEDS? Table 14

TOTAL	% • OZ	4.1	1 5	1 0		4		1 0		1	333 92	369 101
RESPONSE		BETTER VOLUME CONTROL	GENERALLY A BETTER SYSTEM	AVORABLE REP	LESS MAINTENANCE/TROUBLE	BETTER MOUNTING METHODS	SWITCHS/CONTRLS-CONVENIENT	LOWER COST	BETTER SPEAKER SYSTEM	OTHER	NO ANSWER	TOTAL*

*Total equals more than 360 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

360

NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

Table 15

15. THE MOST COMMONLY USED ELECTRO-WECHANICAL SITEN IN YOUR DEPARTMENT IS: (MANUFACTURER, MODEL OR TRADE NAME, NUMBER OF PAIROLCARS HAVING IT)

	G IT	24	79 11 1 5 5	
HAVING IT)	PATROLCARS HAVING IT	NO.	16,105 2,791 276 30 1,076 20,278	
BER OF PATROLCARS	HAVING IT	ъ%	61 11 20 20 100	
RADE NAME, NUM	DEPARIMENTS HAVING IT	NO.	109 20 6 7 36 178	
(MANUFACTURER, MODEL OR TRADE NAME, NUMBER OF PATROLCARS HAVING IT)		MANUFACTURER CODE	1 2 3 MISCELLANEOUS BLANK (NO MANUFACTURER GIVEN) TOTAL	

Table 16

16. WHERE IS THIS TYPE ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIREN USUALLY LOCATED?

	TOWNSHIP	NO.	1 14 0 0 0 0 3 43 4 57 0 0	7
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% °02	3 16 1 5 0 0 8 42 0 0 20 105	19
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% • ON	5 14 4 11 1 3 19 54 8 23 1 3	35
NT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	8 29 1 4 0 0 13 46 13 46 35 125	28
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	5 18 0 0 16 57 16 29 1 4 30 108	28
	COUNTY	% • OV	4 11 2 6 6 0 0 14 39 21 58 0 0 0 41 114	36
	STATE	% • 0N	0 0 2 7 0 0 13 48 16 59 0 0	27
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% ° 0N	26 14 10 6 1 1 86 48 78 43 2 1 203 113	180
RESPONSE			ON A UTILITY BAR ABOVE, ROOF RIGHT ON THE ROOF ON THE LEFT FRONT FENDER UNDER HOOD. BEHIND GRILLE UNDER HOOD. IN ENGINE COMP. NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

17. WHAT PROBLEMS HAVE YOU ENCOUNTERED WITH THIS TYPE ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIREN?

DEPARTMENT TYPE	STATE COUNTY CITY CITY FIFTY TOWNSHIP (10-49 (50 OR MORE LARGEST OFFICERS) OFFICERS) CITIES	NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. %	0 2 3 3 0	7 01 31 86 86 31 75
		%	15 15 74 74 74 11 11 11 11 11 0	36
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • ON	2 2 39 22 93 52 8 4 12 7 13 7 13 7 13 7 26 14 14 2 29 16 4 2	180
RESPONSE			FREEZE UP IN WINTER MOTORISTS DONT HEAR THEM OFFICERS CANT HEAR THEM OFFICERS CANT HEAR RADIO DELAY TIME UNTIL IT SOUNDS WIRING PROBLEMS RELAY OR SWITCH PROBLEMS NO PROBLEMS/NEW EQUIPMENT OTHER NO ANSWER	NIMBER OF RESPONDENTS

^{*}Total equals more than 180 since some respondents selected more than one choice,

18. PLEASE RATE THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS TYPE ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIREN IN TERMS OF HOW OFTEN IT MUST BE REPAIRED: Table 18

	TOWNSHIP	% *	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% •0N	0 0 0 0 5 26 10 53 2 11 3 16 0 0	19
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% * *	0 0 4 11 6 17 6 17 12 34 7 20 3 9	35
ENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	* ON	0 0 4 14 4 14 7 25 10 36 0 0	28
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	0 0 0 0 3 11 4 14 2 7 1 8 64 1 4	28
	COUNTY	% • ON	0 0 3 8 2 6 7 19 5 14 18 50 1 3	36
	STATE	% *	11 4 3 111 3 111 8 30 8 30 6 15 0 0 0	27
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • ON	1 1 1 1 1 2 2 12 12 40 22 38 21 63 35 5 3 102	180
RESPONSE			MORE THAN EVERY 6 MONTHS EVERY 6 TO 12 MONTHS ONCE A YEAR ONCE EVERY 2.OR 3 YEARS LESS THAN EVERY 3 YEARS NEVER NEEDED REPAIR NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

Table 18 A

18.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE NEVER HAD TO REPAIR SIRENS, HOW LONG HAS THE DEPARTMENT HAD THOSE SIRENS?

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT TYPE	NT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • ON	% *	% • ON	NO.	% *	% • ON	NO.	NO. %
12 MONTHS OR LESS 13 - 24 MONTHS 25 - 36 MONTHS 37 - 48 MONTHS 49 - 60 MONTHS 61 - 72 MONTHS 73 - 84 MONTHS 85 - 96 MONTHS MORE THAN 96 MONTHS NO ANSWER	15 24 11 17 8 13 6 10 4 6 1 2 1 2 7 11 6 10	1 25 0 0 0 0 1 25 0 0 0 0 1 25 4 100	2 11 2 28 2 11 1 6 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 22 1 1 1 6 1 101	5 28 4 22 11 6 1 6 1 6 1 1 6 2 11 0 0	5 50 1 10 1 10 0 0 0 0 1 10 1 10	1 14 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 14 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 100	1 33 11 33 11 33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	33 33 33 33 33 34 34 35 36 36 36 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	63	#	18	18	10	7	ю	ю

Table 19

19. WHAT PART OR COMPONENT IS THE MOST COMMON CAUSE OF BREAKDOWNS IN THIS TYPE ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIREN?

	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	NO.	0 0 47 37 0 0 0 0 32 5 26 0 0	19
	Y MORE ERS)	ж	6 37 20 11 26 11 14	
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	NO.	132 132 14 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	35
	r +9 ERS)	*	4 21 11 32 32 14 32	
ENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	• 0N	₩ Ф£ФОМФ М	28
DEPARTMENT	Y 9 ERS)	×	0 7 0 29 7 61	
DE	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	°ON	0 0 0 8 8 17 13	28
	<u></u>	ж	0 11 11 25 14 47	
	COUNTY	0 N	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	36
	TE	ж	0 0 10 37 13 48 3 11 3 11 4 15 2 7 35 129	
	STATE	• 0 N	0 1130 133 33 84 34 35 35	27
	MENT ES	%	3 2 48 27 39 22 8 4 45 25 24 13 52 29	
	ART LYP	0 N	39 39 39 8 45 24 52 52 52	180
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES			

20. ABOUT HOW LONG DO YOU USE MOST ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIRENS OF THIS TYPE BEFORE THEY ARE REPLACED OR REBUILT?

	d I	36	14	0	14	14	57	0	66	
	TOWNSHIP	* 0N	1	0	-	-	寸	0	7	7
	Y EST	Ж	21	37	11	56	Ŋ	0	100	
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	• 0N	#	7	a	5	-	0	19	19
	MORE RS)	ж	17	6	53	53	6	6	102	
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% •0N	9	Ю	10	10	Ю	ю	35	35
	9 RS)	%		32	25	7	32	0	100	
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	. ON	-	6	7	٦	6	0	28	28
ARTME	RS)	ж	7	14	11	11	43	14	100	
DEP	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	• 0 N	C)	ŧ	ľ	ĸ	12	ŧ	28	28
	>	≫	Ю	17	25	14	39	М	36 101	
	COUNTY	• 0 2	1	9	6	വ	14	-	36	36
	ш	%	19	11	22	37	11	0	100	
	STATE	• 0 N	J.	ĸ	9	10	ĸ	0	27	27
	ENT	Ж	11	18	21	50	56	t	180 100	
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	• 0N	20	32	38	36	94	60	180	180
RESPONSE			1 - 3 YEARS	4 - 6 YEARS	7 - 10 YEARS	MORE THAN 10 YEARS	NEVER NEEDED TO REPAIR	NO ANSWER	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

20.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE NEVER NEEDED TO REPLACE OR REBUILD SIRENS! HOW LONG HAS RESPONDENT HAD THOSE SIRENS? Table 20 A

RESPONSE	ALL STATE DEPARTMENT TYPES	*ON	12 MONTHS OR LESS 13 - 24 MONTHS 25 - 36 MONTHS 37 - 48 MONTHS 49 - 60 MONTHS 61 - 73 - 84 MONTHS 73 - 84 MONTHS 85 - 96 MONTHS 85 - 96 MONTHS MORE THAN 96 MONTHS NO ANSWER TOTAL	OF RESPONDENTS
	TE COUNTY	* OZ *	333000011	
DEPARTMENT	Y CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • OZ	14 1 8 29 2 17 14 1 8 7 0 0 7 1 8 0 1 8 0 1 8 14 2 17 14 2 17	- 2
NT TYPE	CITY CITY (10-49 (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 56 111 11 11 1 11 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 11 1 9 100 3	
	Y FIFTY MORE LARGEST ERS) CITIES	* OZ	33 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•
	TOWNSHIP	% • ON	1 253 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ŧ

21. WHAT IMPROVEMENTS COULD BE MADE IN THIS TYPE ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIREN?

RESPONSE	TIMES	ES	
	°ON	ж	
INCREASE VOLUME/MAKE LOUDER	21	12	
NEED DISTINCT SOUND/TONE CONTROL	N	-	
REPLACE WITH ELECTRONIC SIRENS	10	9	
MAKE MORE DURABLE/LESS MALFUNCTIONING	S	-	
MAKE SMALLER/LIGHTER WEIGHT	10	9	
IMPROVE BRUSHES, BEARINGS, LUBRICATION SYSTEM	7	\$	
BETTER BRAKING SYSTEM/FASTER MOTOR STOP	9	n	
SIREN TAKES TOO MUCH CURRENT TO OPERATE/BATTERY DRAIN	₩.	N	
NEED UNIVERSAL MOUNTING SYSTEM/BASE PLATE	60	#	
OTHER	S	'n	
NEED PROTECTION FROM DUST, SNOW, RAIN, ETC.	n	N	
NO ANSWER	116	19	
TOTAL*	193	193 108	

^{*}Total equals more than 180 since some respondents gave more than one response.

180

Table 22

ક્લ	22 11 19	100
NO.	4 1 2 1 172	180
MANUFACTURER	1 2 2 3 3 4 4 BLANK	TOTAL

NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

23. WHAT IS THERE ABOUT THIS OTHER TYPE ELECTRO-MECHANICAL SIREN THAT WOULD MAKE IT BETTER FOR YOUR PARTICULAR NEEDS?

TOTAL	× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 3 3		173 96	182 102	180
RESPONSE		MISCELLANEOUS OTHERS SOUND LOUDER/MORE PENETRATN	MOUNTING DIFFERENT/BETTER	NO ANSWER	TOTAL*	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

^{*}Total equals more than 180 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

24. WHAT LIGHTS OR REFLECTORS DO YOUR PATROL CARS USUALLY HAVE IN ADDITION TO, OR INSTEAD OF, THOSE FOUND ON AN ORDINARY PASSENGER CAR?

RESPONSE							UEP	DEPARTMENT	T TYPE							
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	-	STATE	ш	COUNTY	L.	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	.RS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	,9 :RS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	MORE (RS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	ES T	TOWNSHIP	۵
	% • ON		• 0 N		• 0 2	%	° 0 2	3 R	• 0 2	3 R	• 0 N	3 8	° 0 2	≥ R	ON	%
SPECIAL REFLECTORS	70 1	9	10	21	11	15	6	12	6	11	15	18	14	30	N	7
SPECIAL TURN SIGNAL LIGHTS		9	12	56	2¢	34	31	9	33	39	27	33	12	33	17	29
SPECIAL CLEARANCE LIGHT		2	0	0	1	-	-	-	-	-	ď	7	1	~	-	ĸ
HAND CONTROLLED SPOTLIGHTS		6	58	9	43	61	51	99	58	69	62	75	37	80	23	4
FOG OR AUX DRIVING LIGHTS	15	ю	-	N	ŧ	9	ŧ	Ŋ	2	N	-	-	~	÷	-	rO
ALLEY OR AMBUSH LIGHTS		16	-	~	12	17	13	17	18	21	17	20	60	17	2	7
AUTOMATIC HEADLIGHT FLASHER		6	N	ŧ	7	10	ŧ	വ	9	7	13	16	9	13	N	7
COLORED LIGHTS IN GRILLE	63 1	.	6	19	15	21	13	17	6	11	6	11	đ	Φ	t	14
FLASHING LIGHTS ON ROOF		ы	t	46	59	83	70	91	80	95	79	95	45	98	28	97
OTHER FRONT WARNING LIGHTS	59 1	.	^	15	10	14	80	10	13	15	10	12	11	24	0	0
OTHER REAR WARNING LIGHTS	80 1	18	14	30	14	20	14	18	13	15	11	13	11	54	Ю	10
NO ANSWER	വ	1	0	0	N	ю	0	0	N	N	7	-	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1276 291		128	273	202	285	218	282	244	288	247	297	154	334	83	286
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437		47		71		77		94		83		94		59	

Table 24

24.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED OTHER FRONT WARNING LIGHTS, WHAT OTHER TYPES OF LIGHTS WERE INDICATED? Table 24 A

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT	NT TYPE				
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP	
	* ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	% • ON	NO.	% °ON	% *	* OZ	% • ON	% •0N	
MISCELLANEOUS OTHERS RED, SPOTLIGHT RED, STEADY (ROOF) RED, STEADY (ROOF) FLASHING RED LIGHTS FLASHING LIGHTS BLUE TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	17 29 9 15 12 20 7 12 3 3 5 8 4 7 59 99	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 40 2 20 3 30 0 0 0 0 1 10 10 100	8 8 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 23 23 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	3 30 1 10 1 10 3 30 0 0 0 0 1 10 10 100	3 27 0 0 0 20 2 18 1 9 1 9 11 99		

24.8. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED OTHER REAR WARNING LIGHTS, WHAT OTHER TYPES OF LIGHTS WERE INDICATED? Table 24 B

DEPARTMENT TYPE

TOWNSHIP	% • ON	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	3 100
ES -	96	11 30 30 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	66
FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	° 0 2	010111100000	11
MORE (RS)	*	27 27 27 27 20 99 99 99	66
CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	* 0 N		= :
(5)	%	23 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100
CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	0 2	WOOOWWOWHOHH	13
35)	%	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	66
CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	° ON	HONDHOD Ø HNOH	14
>	≫	2011 211 211 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	98
COUNTY	• 0 N	10010010010010	14
1.1	348	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	98
STATE	° OZ	00000000	14
S	*	11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	66
ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	002	0 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	08 8
		MISCELLANEOUS OTHERS RED: SPOTLIGHT FLASHING RED: REAR WINDOW FALSHING AMBER: REAR WINDOW FLASHING RED FLASHING DECK: RED: REAR WINDOW DECK: REB: REAR WINDOW DECK: REAR WINDOW DECK: REAR WINDOW DECK: REAR WINDOW DECK: REAR WINDOW	TOTAL
		MISCELLANEOUS OTH RED, SPOTLIGHT FLASHING RED, REA FLASHING AMBER FLASHING RED FLASHING RED FLASHING RED FLASHING REAR W DECK, AMBER, REAR FLASHING, REAR W DECK, REAR WINDOW BLUE	TOTAL NIMBER OF

RESPONSE

Table 25

25. FOR WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES DO YOUR OFFICERS ROUTINELY USE THEIR EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHTS DURING THE DAYTIME?

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT TYPE	NT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • ON	% • O Z	% ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	% • ON	% • OZ	NO.	% • 0N	% °0v
ROUTINE PATROL PARKING OFF THE ROAD PARKING ON THE ROAD SIGNALLING MOTORISTS OVER EMERGENCY CALLS PURSUING ANOTHER CAR NO ANSWER	15 3 71 16 294 67 383 88 401 92 398 91 55 13	3 6 11 23 38 81 38 81 41 87 36 77 5 11 1 2	6 8 89 69 89 61 86 89 65 89 65 89 65 89 65 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	3 4 10 13 42 55 68 88 65 84 72 94 10 13	3 4 10 12 56 67 72 86 79 94 79 94 11 13	14 17 559 71 74 89 89 95 95 11 13 13 382	0 0 0 36 133 452 98 455 98 8 17 98 8 17 98 8 17 98 8 17 98 8 17 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	26 90 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	7.1	77	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		46	

Table 25 A

25.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED OTHER ACTIVITIES, WHAT OTHER ACTIVITIES WERE INDICATED?

	TOWNSHIP	% • ov	0 20			4 100	3 *
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% • ON	1 12 3 37 0 0	1 0 0	2 25 1 12	8 98	60
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% *	0 2 18 0 0	7 64 0 0	11	11 100	11
TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 27 3 27 1 9	3 27 0 0	0 0 0	11 99	11
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS) C	% • O Z	0 3 30 2 20			10 100	10
	COUNTY	% • ON	0 0 0 0 1 17	2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 17 0 0	6 100	9
	STATE	NO.	0 0 0	00	1 50	5 100	ß
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • ON	4 7 17 31 4 7	17 31 3 5	6 11 4 7	25 99	55
RESPONSE			MISCELLANEOUS OTHERS ACCIDENTS FUNERALS/FUNERAL ESCORTS	ESCORTS DIRECTING TRAFFIC	BLOCKING TRAFFIC HAZARDOUS/UNUSUAL SITUATION	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

Table 26-1

26. FOR WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES DO YOUR OFFICERS ROUTINELY USE THEIR EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHTS AT NIGHT?

dI	≫.	177 100 100 97 93 17 17 0
TOWNSHIP	° ON	11 0 52 52 52 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53
ES T	ж	22 83 91 100 17 17
FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	*0N	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Y MORE ERS)	%	27 27 81 94 95 93 14 10
CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	° ON	22 22 67 74 77 12 2 337 83
.9 (RS)	ж	4404
DEPARTMENT TYPE ITY CITY 1-9 (10-49 ICERS) OFFICERS)	• ON	222 612 80 80 91 91 33 84 84
PARTME	Ж	27 27 69 94 92 94 10 0
DEPARTI CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	° ON	21 23 72 71 72 8 0 0 301
≿	*	28 77 77 77 86 7 7 38 86
COUNTY	• ON	20 555 655 64 61 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
'n	*	32 83 83 15 15 392
STATE	• ON	15 339 34 44 7 7 184 47
S S	%	398 122 398
ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	• 0N	14 53 115 26 332 76 410 94 410 94 400 92 54 12 5 1 1740 398
RESPONSE		ROUTINE PATROL PARKING OFF THE ROAD PARKING ON THE ROAD SIGNALLING MOTORISTS OVER EMERGENCY CALLS PURSUING ANOTHER CAR OTHER NO ANSWER TOTAL

26.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED OTHER ACTIVITIES, WHAT OTHER ACTIVITIES WERE INDICATED?

Table 26 A-1

% 60 50 20 20 00

PIEASE GIVE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT THE MOST COMMON TYPE OF EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHTS (BEACONS OR FLASHERS) USED IN YOUR DEPARTMENT.

(MANUFACTURER, NUMBER OF PATROLCARS HAVING IT, MOUNTED DIRECTLY ON VEHICLE OR ON UTILITY BAR) 27.

ACTURER CODE NO. # ACTURER CODE NO. # 1 2 266 61 2 3 15 3 3 15 3 15 3 15 5 6 7 2 6 11 10 MANUFACTURER GIVEN) 54 12	NIMBER OF DEPARTMENTS	MOUNTING ON UTILITY	VEHICLE BAR NO. NO.	90 23 4 4 10 12 13 23 23 23 25	169 280
NSE DEPARTMENTS HAVING III 2 1 2 2 43 15 3 4 4 15 3 15 3 4 4 5 6 6 10 4 1 1 1 2 4 1 1 1 2 4 1 1 1 2 4 1 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 2 4 4 2 4 2		LCARS NG IT	₽€	67 16 20 20 11	100
NSE ACTURER CODE 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 ILANBOUS (NO MANUFACTURER GIVEN)		PATRO	NO.	19,880 4,705 600 591 893 661 1,846	26,618
NSE ACTURER CODE 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 ILANBOUS (NO MANUFACTURER GIVEN)		MENTS G IT	ક્લ	61 101 122 2 3 3 3 5 1	100
HESPONSE MANUFACTURER CODE 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 MISCELLANBOUS BLANK (NO MANUFACTURER GIVEN) TOTAL		DEPART	NO.	266 133 133 54 54 54	437
	RESPONSE		MANUFACTURER CODE	1 2 3 4 5 6 MISCELLANEOUS BLANK (NO MANUFACTURER GIVEN)	TOTAL

27.C. NUMBER OF LIGHTS PER UNIT FOR THE BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT WHICH DEPARTMENT INDICATES IS THE MOST COMMONLY USED: Table 27 C

RESPONSE						DEPARTMENT	ENT TYPE				
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	ENT S	STATE	ш	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP	
	• 0 N	Ж	0	Ж	** **	* ON	% • ON	NO.	% • ON	NO.	
1 2 5 5 7 NO ANSWER	193 193 193 193 193 193 193 193 193 193	8	177								
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	5	t t	66	71 100	77 101	84 101	83 99 83	66 94	29 100	_

27.0. NUMBER OF UNITS PER VEHICLE FOR THE BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT WHICH DEPARTMENT INDICATES IS THE MOST COMMONLY USED:

RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT TYPE	'NT TYPE				
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP	۵.
	% • ON	% • ON	% • ON 9	% • ON	% • ON	NO.	% • ON	NO.	26
3 2 1	322 74 57 13 11 3		89 48 68 6 9 13 2 1 1	53 69 9 12 5 6	63 75 10 12 1 1	61 73 16 19 1 1	35 76 8 17 2 4	0,00	69
≄ ഗേ യ	- 12 5	0 0	0 = 0						000
NO ANSWER	39 6		12						t o
TOTAL	437 100	5 41	99 71 100	77 100	84 100	83 99	66 91	29 10	100
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	437	47	71	77	94	83	94	59	

27.E. COLOR(S) OF WARNING SIGNAL OF THE BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT WHICH DEPARTMENT INDICATES IS THE MOST COMMONLY USED:

DEPARTMENT TYPE	COUNTY CITY CITY FIFTY TOWNSHIP (1-9 (10-49 (50 OR MORE LARGEST OFFICERS) OFFICERS) CITIES	NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. %	6 8 6 8 9 11 7 8 4 9 2 2 2 13 6 13 6 21 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 5 6 13 6 21 2 9 0 </th <th>71 77 84 83 46 29</th>	71 77 84 83 46 29
	ALL STATE DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • ON % • ON	35 8 1 2 46 11 2 4 8 2 0 0 17 4 1 2 243 56 27 57 107 24 16 34 47 11 9 19 1 0 1 2 8 2 1 2 512 118 58 122	437 47
RESPONSE			RED AND BLUE RED AND CLEAR BLUE AND CLEAR CLEAR RED BLUE YELLOW OTHER NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

Table 27 E

27.F. COLOR OF THE DOME OF THE BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT WHICH DEPARTMENT INDICATES IS THE MOST COMMONLY USED:

	STATE COUNTY	% • OZ % • OZ	20 43 34 48 16 34 14 20 7 15 7 10 2 4 0 0 4 9 21 30 50 107 76 108	47 71
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • O Z	222 51 111 25 63 14 4 1 4 1 61 14	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS 437
RESPONSE			RED BLUE CLEAR YELLOW CHROME NO ANSWER TOTAL *	NUMBER OF

^{*}Total equals more than 437 since some respondents selected more than one response.

DEPARTMENT TYPE 28. ABOUT HOW LONG DOES THIS MODEL OF BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT WORK BEFORE IT NEEDS REPAIR OR SERVICE (OTHER THAN LAMP REPLACEMENT? RESPONSE

WNSHIP	× • 0	0 0 7 24 1 14 1 3 0 0 17 59 0 0 29 100	59
-		643669	
FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	*0N	•	40
CITY O OR MORE FFICERS)	% • ON	8 10 36 43 14 17 3 4 2 2 19 23 3 4 85 103	83
CITY (10-49 (5 OFFICERS) 0	* ° ° ° °	2 2 26 19 23 2 2 2 2 1 1 37 44 2 2 2	94
CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	4 5 19 25 10 13 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 81 105	77
COUNTY	% • ON	2 3 14 20 10 14 7 10 6 8 28 39 5 7	71
STATE	% * ON	11 19 40 8 17 6 13 3 6 4 9 4 9 4 9	47
ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • OZ	25 6 138 32 77 18 26 6 14 3 149 34 17 4	437
		LESS THAN 1 YEAR 1 - 3 YEARS 4 - 6 YEARS 7 - 10 YEARS MORE THAN 10 YEARS NEVER NEEDED TO REPAIR NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
	STATE COUNTY CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	STATE COUNTY CITY CITY FIFTY TOWNSHI (1-9 (10-49 (50 OR MORE LARGEST OFFICERS) OFFICERS) CITIES OFFICERS) OFFICERS) NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. % NO. %	YEAR NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. N

*Total equals more than 437 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

Table 28

28.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE NEVER NEEDED REPAIR OR SERVICE, HOW LONG HAS DEPARTMENT HAD BEACON OR FLASHING LIGHT?

RESPONSE							DEP	DEPARTMENT	T TYPE							
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	⊢	STATE	114	COUNTY	>	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	RS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	9 RS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	MORE (RS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	ST ES	TOWNSHIP	۵
	° 0 N	%	• 0N	%	• 0 V	%	0 N	%	• 0 2	%	• 0 N	%	°0N	*	• 0 N	%
12 MONTHS OR LESS 13 - 24 MONTHS 25 - 36 MONTHS 37 - 48 MONTHS 49 - 60 MONTHS 61 - 72 MONTHS 73 - 84 MONTHS NORE THAN 96 MONTHS NO ANSWER	111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111	0000		2000 0000 0000	H 1000 H	339 11 11 7 7 7	100000	25 25 25 35 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	400000 F	23 11 23 33 33 33			N-10-10000 ;	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2000000
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	149	0	t t	001	12 K3	101	4 4	86	37	001	19	001	t t	100	17 1	101

Table 29

29. WHAT ARE THE MOST COMMON CAUSES OF BREAKDOWN OR MALFUNCTION IN THIS MODEL BEACON OR FLASHER?

	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	* °OZ	NONE BULB FAILURE BULB FAILURE ACHANICAL FAILURE ACHANICAL CAUSED BY WEATHER OTHER NO ANSWER NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS 18 4 197 437
	STATE	% • ON	0 0 19 40 7 15 7 15 7 15 7 15 7 40 47
	COUNTY	% •0N	1 1 2 28 39 12 17 17 1 1 1 29 41 74 103
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	5 6 34 13 17 16 8 8 10 21 27 79 102
NT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	27 32 27 32 18 21 4 5 9 11 24 29 89 106
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 4 46 55 20 24 10 12 8 10 7 8 94 113
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% • ON	28 61 14 30 7 15 7 15 2 4 56 121
	TOWNSHIP	% °0N	2 45 4 14 2 7 2 7 2 7 3 108

29.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED, OTHER CAUSES OF BREAKDOWN OR MALFUNCTION WHAT OTHER CAUSES WERE INDICATED?

TOWNSHIP	% • ON	1 50 0 0 0 0 2 100
FIFTY T LARGEST CITIES	% °0%	5 71 2 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 100
		25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2
CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	• 0 2	υνο⇔ο α α
CITY (10-49)	× 0 ×	5 56 1 11 1 11 0 0 3 33 0 0 9 100
OEPARTMENT TYPE CITY (1-9 OFFICERS) OFFICE	% • ON	6 75 0 0 0 2 25 8 100
COUNTY	% • ON	1 100 0 0 0 0 1 1 100
STATE	% • ON	4 57 0 0 1 14 1 14 1 14 7 99
ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27 64 1 2 1 2 5 12 3 7 42 99
RESPONSE	_	MISCELLANEOUS OTHER DAMAGE CAUSED BY CAR WASH DAMAGE CAUSED BY ACCIDENT DOMES/GLASS BREAKS POOR GROUNDING TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

30. ABOUT LONG CAN THIS MODEL OF EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHT BE USED BEFORE IT MUST BE REPLACED? RESPONSE

KINDAONNE	ALL STATE DEPARTMENT TYPES	% * ON % *ON	LESS THAN 1 YEAR 1 - 3 YEARS 4 - 6 YEARS 7 - 10 YEARS 11 - 15 YEARS 11 - 15 YEARS NO ANSWER THAN 15 YEARS 11 3 1 2 NO ANSWER TOTAL* 1 - 3 YEARS 14 9 6 13 14 30 14 30 15 18 18 18 16 19 14 30 17 19 18 18 18 28 19 40 13 28 10 47 101	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS 437 47
	COUNTY	% • ON	0 0 0 1 1 1 15 3 111 15 15 21 2 2 1 1 1 8 28 39 1 1 14 1 71 98	1.1
DEPAKIMENI	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • OZ	10 13 10 13 5 6 4 5 42 55 6 8	77
- 1 F	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	1 1 8 10 13 15 15 18 3 4 1 1 37 44 7 8	94
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% *	0 0 8 10 15 18 19 23 7 8 1 1 29 35 4 5	83
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% *	0 0 9 20 12 26 7 15 4 4 7 15 1 5 1 6	94
	TOWNSHIP	% • ON	0 0 2 7 4 114 4 114 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59

*Total equals 438 since one respondent selected two choices.

30.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE NEVER NEEDED TO REPLACE EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHTS, HOW LONG HAS DEPARTMENT HAD THESE LIGHTS?

	!!!							
RESPONSE				DEPARTMENT TYPE	NT TYPE			
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1~9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP
	% • 0 N	% ° 0N	% • ON	% •0 8	% • ON	% • ON	% • ON	NO.
12 MONTHS OR LESS 13 = 24 MONTHS 25 = 36 MONTHS 37 = 48 MONTHS 49 = 60 MONTHS	46 26 34 20 35 20 20 11 8 5	1 1 1 2 2 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7 tt 1		F) (V			4 22 1 6 10 56 2 11 0 0
61 - 72 MONTHS 73 - 84 MONTHS 85 - 96 MONTHS MORE THAN 96 MONTHS NO ANSWER	വന ഗനവ	0 0 0 0 5 1 2 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		111132	44044	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00000	
TOTAL	174 100	13 100	28 100	42 100	37 101	29 100	7 100	18 101
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	174	13	28	42	37	29	7	18

Table 31. S 31. WHAT IMPROVEMENTS CAN YOU SUGGEST FOR THIS MODEL OF EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHT?

RESPONSE	TIMES	S	
	°ON	%	
MAKE LIGHTS BRIGHTER/WORE INTENSE/MORE VISIBLE/MORE CANDLEPOWER	24	2	
MARE UNII MURE WEATHER PROOF/SEALING Better quality/morf durable	22	ഗ	
MORE THEFTPROOF/VANDALPROOF	2	1 (1	
INCREASE FLASH SPEED/STROBE RATE/TURNING RATE FOR FLASH	9	. →	
MAKE LIGHTS LARGER	E.	-	
IMPROVE MOUNTING	6	7	
IMPROVE MOTORS/BEARINGS/GEARS	19	t	
IMPROVE DOMES	9	· und	
IMPROVE REFLECTORS	t	-	
NEW LIGHT ARRANGEMENT/FUNCTION	-	0	
DEFLECTOR TO CUT WIND NOISE	N	0	
IMPROVE STYLING	(1)	-	
BETTER LUBRICATION SYSTEM	~	0	
CHANGE TO BLUE LIGHTS	7	~	
CHANGE TO RED LIGHTS	. ~	10	
OTHER COLOR SUGGESTIONS	1 3	-	
OTHER	13	ŀΩ	
NO ANSWER	322	74	
TOTAL	99#	105	

437

NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

RESPONSE

32. CAN YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHT NOW ON THE MARKET THAT MIGHT MEET YOUR NEEDS BEITTER?

32.4. CAN YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHT NOW ON THE MARKET WHICH MIGHT MEET YOUR NEEDS BETTER?

TYPES OF LIGHTS MENTIONED Table 32 A

TIMES MENTIONED

°0

RESPONSE	BAR LIGHT LIGHT AND SIREN BAR REFERENCE TO SIREN OR SPEAKER REVOLVING LIGHT STROBE LIGHT ROTATING BEACON LIGHT TWO LIGHTS OTHER

13 39 39 39 39 39 443 101

437

NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

TOTAL

B-27

Table 32 B

	TIMES MENTIONED	% • ON	37 8	14 10 2	5	3 1	387 89	457 104	437
7 WARNING LIGHT NOW ON THE MARKET									
32.8. CAN YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER EMERGENCY WARNING LIGHT NOW ON THE MARKET WHICH MIGHT MEET YOUR NEEDS BETTER? COLORS OF LIGHTS MENTIONED	RESPONSE		RED	B-CLEAR		TRED AND YELLOW BLUE AND CLEAR	NO ANSWER	TOTAL*	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

*Total equals more than 437 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

	NEEDS?
	MEET YOUR N
	MEET
	THIS OTHER LIGHT THAT WOULD MAKE IT BETTER !
	H
	MAKE
	MOULD
	THAT
	LIGHT
	OTHER
	THIS
	ABOUT
	33. WHAT IS THERE
	IS
Table 33	WHAT
Tab	33.

33. WHAT IS THERE ABOUT THIS	OTHER LIGHT THAT WOUL
RESPONSE	TOTAL
	% °0N
MORE VISIBLE/BIGGER MORE COMPACT/LOWER PROFILE MOUNTING EASIER/BETTER EASIER TO MAINTAIN BETTER WEATHERPROOFING BETTER FLASHING ARRANGEMENT OTHER NO ANSWER	44 10 5 1 7 2 2 0 5 1 1 0 12 3 381 87
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	

34. HOW MANY STANDARD PATROL CARS DOES YOUR DEPARTMENT HAVE?

	FIFTY TOWNSHIP LARGEST CITIES	% °OZ	2 5 5 6 5 1 6 6 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	46 29
	CITY FI	.oz % .oz	30 30 5 5 0 0 0 0 101	83
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • O Z	69 82 8 10 3 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	94
DEPARTME	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% OZ	69 90 2 3 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 6	77
	COUNTY	% • O2	40 56 112 157 111 157 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	71
	STATE	% • ON	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% 02	205 47 51 15 66 15 20 5 21 12 19 4 7 2 18 4 437 101	437
			2 10000	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
RESPONSE			LESS THAN 5 5 - 10 11 - 50 51 - 100 101 - 500 501 - 1000 MORE THAN 1000 NO ANSWER	NUMBER OF

Table 34

Table 35

YES NO NO ANSWER TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES NO. % 64 15 354 81 19 4 437 100	.S. % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	STATE NO. % 4.43 91 2.4 2.4 4.7 99	я 3 с 9 с 9 с 9 с 9 с 9 с 9 с 9 с 9 с 9 с	COUNTY NO. 9 53 9 71 1	. % 9 13 9 13 9 13 75 9 13 75 101 17 101	OEPARTW (1-7) (1-7	DEPARTMEN 1179 11-685) 1 8 14 18 19 77 4 5 77 100	DEPARTMENT TYPE 117 (10-49 11cers) OFFICERS) 14 18 11 13 19 77 71 85 77 100 84 100	49 885 85 85 100	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS) NO. % 20 24 61 73 2 2 83 99	MORE % % 24 73 24 299	LARIE COITE 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	IFTY RGEST ITTES . % 4 9 4 9 0 0 4 6 100	TOWNSHIP NO. % 4 14 25 86 0 0 29 100	Ť
--	---	---	---	--	---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	------------------------------	---	-----------------------	---	---	---	---

Table 35 A

DEPARTMENT TYPE 35.4. IF YES TO 35 HOW ARE LIGHTS CONTROLLED? RESPONSE

				は アメイガン	טור אאופוני וייוני				
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	STATE	COUNTY	CITY (1+9 OFFICERS)	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	CITY (SO OR MORE OFFICERS)	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	TOWNSHIP	0
	% • ON	NO.	% • ON	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	, on	20
BRIGHT LIGHT FROM PATROLCAR	7 11		2 22	3 21	0	2 10	0	0	0
RADIO SIGNAL FROM PATROLCAR	5		3 33	1 7	0	1 2	0	0	0
OTHER	53 83	2 100	†††	10 71	11 100	18 90	4 100	4 10	0
TOTAL*	65 102	2 100	66 6	14 99	11 100	21 105	4 100	4 100	0
NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS	49	C)	6	14	11	20	ŧ	ŧ	

* Total equals 65 since one respondent selected two choices.

35.8. OF THOSE DEPARTMENTS WHO INDICATED OTHER MEANS OF CONTROL. WHAT OTHER MEANS WERE INDICATED?

	TOWNSHIP	NO.	4 100 0 0	4 100	†
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% • 0 N	3 75 1 25	4 100	đ
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% °0 Z	13 72 5 28	18 100	18
IT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	10 91 1 9	11 100	11
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • 0N	10 100 0 0	10 100	10
	COUNTY	% • 0N	4 100 0 0	4 100	ŧ
	STATE	% • ON	1 50 1 50	2 100	2
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • 0 Z	45 85 8 15	53 100	53
RESPONSE			MANUAL MEANS OTHER MEANS	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

Table 36-

36. OFFICERS MAY BE TRAINED IN VARIOUS WAYS TO USE EMERGENCY WARNING EQUIPMENT. INDICATE THE TWO MOST COMMON METHODS OF TRAINING USED IN YOUR DEPARTMENT.

	TOWNSHIP	NO.	7 24 12 41 28 97 8 28	100	56 193	59
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	% • ON	6 13 39 85 35 76		82 178	94
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% °OZ	6 7 56 67 72 87 13 16		149 179	83
NT TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	21 35 42 69 82 32 38		161 191	84
DEPARTMENT TYPE	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	% • ON	23 30 19 25 57 74 33 43		137 178	77
	COUNTY	% ° ON	16 23 17 24 59 83		125 176	71
	STATE	% *	42 89 36 77 0	500	82 174	47
	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	% • 0 Z	81 19 220 50 356 81 116 27		792 181	437
RESPONSE			READ TRAINING MANUALS PART OF REG. TRAINING CLASS EXFERIENCED OFFICERS TRAIN ATTEND SCHOOL OUTSIDE DEPT.	OTHER NO ANSWER	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

37. WHO IN YOUR DEPARTMENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CHOOSING AND ORDERING EMERGENCY WARNING EQUIPMENT?

CITY FIFTY 50 OR MORE LARGEST OFFICERS) CITIES NO. % NO. % 47 57 14 30 6 7 13 28 11 6 19 13 28 33 40 23 50 2 2 4 9 104 125 59 128
FIFT. MORE LARGE: RS) CITII % NO. 57 14 7 15 19 13 40 23 40 23 41 25 59
FIFTY LARGEST CITIES NO. % 14 30 5 11 13 28 23 50 4 9

Table 38 38. WHAT TEST METHODS DO YOU USE FOR NEW EMERGENCY WARNING EQUIPMENT?

RESPONSE	ALL DEPARTMENT TYPES	& •OZ	STANDRD TESTS BEFORE BUYING 43 10 TESTS BEFORE BUYING 43 10 TESTS BEFORE INSTALLATION 14 3 TEST AFTER INSTALLATION 54 12 NOT TESTED EXCEPT IN USE 70 16 NO ANSWER 16 4	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS 437
	STATE	% • ON	32 68 7 15 2 4 6 13 6 13 1 2 59 125	47
	COUNTY	% • ON	11 15 5 7 0 0 8 11 43 61 9 13 9 13	71
DEPARTMENT	CITY (1-9 OFFICERS)	NO.	3 4 4 5 10 13 42 55 13 17 5 6 82 106	77
TYPE	CITY (10-49 OFFICERS)	% • ON	16 19 9 11 1 1 14 17 10 12 2 2 96 114	94
	CITY (50 OR MORE OFFICERS)	% °0N	24 29 8 10 4 5 10 12 31 37 19 23 3 4	83
	FIFTY LARGEST CITIES	NO.	26 57 7 15 1 2 3 7 11 24 6 13 1 2	9#
	TOWNSHIP	% • ON	14 14 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59

*Total equals more than 437 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

WHAT	TIMES
BUY ING,	I
BEFORE	
TESTS	
STANDARD	
USE	
THEY	
INDICATED	
0 I 3	
38.4. OF THOSE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED THEY USE STANDARD TESTS BEFORE BUYING, WHAT TESTS ARE THEY?	
HOSE THEY	
OF T ARE	SE
38.A. TESTS	RESPONSE

TIMES MENTIONED	% • OZ	7 16 6 14	8 19 4 9	6 14	12 28	43 100	43
RESPONSE		MISCELLANEOUS OTHER DEMONSTRATION BY SALESMAN	HAVE MANUFACTURER LEND DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT TO TRY IT OUT MENTION GUALITIES THEY LOOK FOR BEFORE BUYING	DEPARTMENT DESCRIBES A SPECIFIC STANDARD TEST THEY USE BEFORE BUYING	NO ANSWER	TOTAL	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

Table 38 B

38.8. OF RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATED THEY TEST EQUIPMENT AFTER INSTALLATION ON THE PATROLCAR, WHAT TESTS ARE USED?

TIMES MENTIONED	% .07	24 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 4	54 100
TIM	ON	EQUIPMENT AFTER INSTALLATION	75
RESPONSE		MISCELLANEOUS OTHER CHECK TO SEE IF EQUIPMENT FUNCTIONS KEEP RECORDS ON PERFORMANCE/MAINTENANCE OF TEST THROUGH USE IN THE FIELD MENTION A SPECIFIC TEST WHICH IS PERFORMED COMPARE EQUIPMENT TO SPECIFICATIONS NO ANSWER	TOTAL

2¢

NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

38.C. OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO INDICATE THAT THEY USE TESTS OTHER THAN THOSE LISTED FOR NEW EMERGENCY WARNING EQUIPMENT, WHAT OTHER TESTS ARE USED?

TIMES MENTIONED	NO. 38 54 14 20 7 10 4 4 4 4 4 6 9	82 116 70
RESPONSE	GET OPINION OF OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES DEMONSTRATION BY MANUFACTURER/SALESMAN MANUFACTURER LENDS DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT FOR TRIAL USE SPECIFICATIONS ARE WRITTEN BEFORE PURCHASE HAVE FOUND THROUGH EXPERIENCE WITH PARTICULAR BRAND THAT IT WORKS BEST HAVE OFFICERS USE EQUIPMENT AND GIVE THEIR OPINIONS USE SPECIFICATIONS FROM ANOTHER DEPARTMENT MENTION SPECIFIC QUALITIES THEY LOOK FOR IN EQUIPMENT	TOTAL* NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS

*Total equals more than 70 since some respondents selected more than one choice.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF NEW PUBLICATIONS ON NATIONAL CRIME AND RELATED SUBJECTS

Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Dear Sir:

Please add my name to the announcement list of new publications to be issued on the above subjects (including this NBS series):

Name		
Company	 	
Address	 	
City	Zip Code	

(Notification key N-351)





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Penalty for Private Use, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COM=215



SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE воок